arXiv:math/0207026v1 [math.DS] 2 Jul 2002

INTEGRABILITY, HYPERBOLIC FLOWS AND THE BIRKHOFF NORMAL FORM

Michel ROULEUX
Centre de Physique Théorique
Unité Propre de Recherche 7061
CNRS Luminy, Case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
and PhyMat, Université de Toulon et du Var

Abstract: We prove that a Hamiltonian $p \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbf{R}^n)$ is locally integrable near a non-degenerate critical point ρ_0 of the energy, provided that the fundamental matrix at ρ_0 has no purely imaginary eigenvalues. This is done by using Birkhoff normal forms, which turn out to be convergent in the C^∞ sense. We also give versions of the Lewis-Sternberg normal form near a hyperbolic fixed point of a canonical transformation, using a recent result of A.Banyaga, R.de la Llave and C.Wayne. Then we investigate the complex case, showing that when p is holomorphic near $\rho_0 \in T^*\mathbf{C}^n$, then $\mathrm{Re}\, p$ becomes integrable in the complex domain for real times, while the Birkhoff series and the Birkhoff transforms may not converge, i.e. p may not be integrable.

0. Introduction.

Birkhoff theorem reduces hamiltonians near an elliptic equilibrium to quasi-integrable systems. More precisely, let $p \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbf{R}^n)$ have a local non degenerate minimum at $\rho_0 = (x_0, \xi_0) = 0$ with non resonant frequencies $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$, i.e. the fundamental matrix F_{ρ_0} defined by :

$$p''_{\rho_0}(t,s) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(t, F_{\rho_0}(s)) \tag{0.1}$$

(here the hessian p'' and the symplectic 2-form are considered as quadratic forms on $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$,) has eigenvalues $\pm i\lambda_1, \cdots, \pm i\lambda_1$ linearly independent over $\mathbf{Z}$, $\lambda_j > 0$, then there is (locally near ρ_0,) a canonical transform $\kappa \in C^\infty$ preserving the origin $\rho_0 = 0$ formally defined through its Taylor series, such that

$$q(y,\eta) = p \circ \kappa(y,\eta) \sim \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n \setminus 0} a_\alpha \iota^\alpha,\ \iota_j = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_j^2 + y_j^2) \tag{0.2}$$

near 0 (in the sense of Taylor series,) with linear part $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \iota_j$. Function q is known as the Birkhoff normal form of p (see [Bi], [Ga], [GiDeFoSi], [Sj4], [Vi], etc...) A theorem of C.Siegel

[Si] says that Birkhoff series are in general divergent (because of small denominators) and there is no hope to reduce p to a completely integrable system. A gigantic litterature has been devoted to integrability of hamiltonian systems ; we have listed below some of the most famous references ([Ar], [ArNo], [CuB], [Ga], [Mo], [Si], [SiMo], ...) but this work has been in part inspired by [El], and [It]. See also [Au] for a somewhat less conventionnal and more algebraic approach.

Classification of quadratic hamiltonians was made by Williamson cf. [Ar.App.6]. We know that eigenvalues of F_{ρ_0} are of the form $\lambda, \overline{\lambda}, -\lambda, -\overline{\lambda}$. These hamiltonians have a particular simple normal form when the eigenvalues are all distinct, and non vanishing. Assuming that F_{ρ_0} is semi-simple (diagonalizable,) in suitable symplectic coordinates $(x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, the normal form is given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x,\xi) = &\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j x_j \xi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Big(c_j \big(x_{\ell+2j-1}\xi_{\ell+2j-1} + x_{\ell+2j}\xi_{\ell+2j} \big) \\
&+ d_j \big(x_{\ell+2j-1}\xi_{\ell+2j} - x_{\ell+2j}\xi_{\ell+2j-1} \big) \Big) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=\ell+2m}^{n} b_j (\xi_j^2 + x_j^2)
\end{aligned}
\tag{0.3}
$$

We call "action variables" the elementary polynomials that enter the expression (0.3). The eigenvalues λ_j of F_{ρ_0} are of the form $\pm a_j$, $\pm(c_j \pm i d_j)$, and $\pm i b_j$, with the convention $a_j,\ b_j, c_j > 0$. Here we consider the case where none of the eigenvalues λ_j is purely imaginary, i.e. no b_j occur in the decomposition. We say then that p, or H_p (the hamiltonian vector field,) is hyperbolic, or of complex hyperbolic type, if we want to stress that some λ_j's are complex.

Since the construction of Birkhoff series is a purely algebraic algorithm, it extends trivially to the hyperbolic, or complex hyperbolic case (provided, of course, the eigenvalues are rationally independent.) It is commonly believed that the process "converges" in this situation, and the main purpose of that paper is to provide a proof for such a result.

Complex eigenvalues occur in small oscillations around an instable equilibrium. As a first example we consider a top spinning around its apex O, with inertial momenta $I_1 \leq I_2 < I_3$, the principal axis of inertia corresponding to eigenvalue I_3 goes through O. For $I_1 = I_2$ (the so-called Lagrange top,) the hamiltonian is integrable, at all energies, but in general there are only 2 integrals of motion. See e.g. [Au] for details. When the top is spinning fast enough, the total energy is close to a minimum, and the hamiltonian orbits (expressed in suitable Euler angles) are confined within compact energy surfaces, on quasi-invariant torii ; then the motion can be described by means of the Birkhoff normal form (0.2). Some of these torii are invariant (the KAM torii,) but most of them will be eventually destroyed. When kinetic energy decreases however, we approach a critical value of the hamiltonian, and the motion becomes unstable.

As a second example, we may consider a satellite, with inertia momenta $I_1 < I_2 < I_3$, spinning around the principal axis of inertia corresponding to the intermediate eigenvalue I_2. Again, whithin certain regimes, such a motion is unstable.

Then we may ask whether the hamiltonian becomes integrable near such critical energies. From the point of vue of Classical Mechanics, this matter is rather futile, since the system will leave the unstable position long before the effects of non integrablity become relevant : divergence from equilibrium grows in general exponentially fast with time, with exception however of the trajectories sufficiently close to the stable manifold. Thus, such an improvement may be of "microlocal" nature.

In (semi-classical) Quantum Mechanics however, particles are reputated to tunnel in classically forbidden regions. A local minimum of the classical hamiltonian becomes a saddle point "seen from the complex side". Consider for instance a semiclassical Schrödinger operator $P = -h^2\Delta + V(x)$ for energies E close to a non-degenerate minimum of V, $V(x_0) = 0$. The classical hamiltonian reads $p(x,\xi) = \xi^2 + V(x)$. When extending quasi-invariant tori in $V(x) > E$, we replace p by $\widetilde{p}(x,\xi) = \xi^2 - V(x)$, which becomes hyperbolic, and it is very convenient to know, in tunneling problems (as in [Ro1]) that the resulting hamiltonian, written in (hyperbolic) action-angle coordinates is completely integrable. Complex eigenvalues are also met when studying magnetic Schrödinger operator $P(x,hD) = (hD - A(x))^2 + V(x)$ (see [MaSo], [KaRo], etc…)

Our main result for integrability and Birkhoff transformations in the real C^∞ sense is the following :

Theorem 0.1: Assume $p \in C^\infty$ is real and (complex-) hyperbolic with a non-degenerate critical point at ρ_0, and the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$ are rationally independent. Then there is a (germ of) C^∞ canonical map κ, $\kappa(0,0) = (0,0)$, $d\kappa(0,0) = I$, and a C^∞ function q of the elementary action variables ι as in (0.3) such that $p \circ \kappa(y,\eta) = q(\iota)$; the quadratic part of q is as in (0.3), without elliptic terms.

As a by-product, we can study integrability in the neighborhood of a closed trajectory of hyperbolic type, as in the examples above.

A related problem concerns conjugation of a real canonical transformation to a time-one hamiltonian flow ; this is the so-called Lewis-Sternberg normal form [St]. A typical situation is this of the Poincaré map, and a lot of work has been devoted to the subject [Br], [Fr], [BaLlWa], [It], [IaSj] ....

As for the Birkhoff normal form, a central question is convergence of the process of reduction. The Lewis-Sternberg theorem was stated at the level of formal series, and a proof of convergence in the symplectic, hyperbolic case was only recently given by A. Banyaga, R. de la Llave and C. Wayne [BaLlWa].

So let $\Phi : T^*\mathbf{R}^n \to T^*\mathbf{R}^n$ be a local diffeomorphism preserving the symplectic structure, $\Phi(0,0) = (0,0)$. Assume that $d\Phi(0,0)$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$, none of them is of modulus 1. We say then that Φ is hyperbolic at (0, 0).

Assume also the frequencies $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$ are non resonant (in the strong sense), i.e. $\lambda_1^{m_1} \cdots \lambda_n^{m_n} = 1$ for $m_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ implies $m_j = 0$.

Note that if H_p is a hamiltonian vector field, then H_p is hyperbolic in the sense above, iff the time-one map $\exp H_p$ is hyperbolic, because of the formula $\kappa \circ \exp H_p \circ \kappa^{-1} = \exp H_{p \circ \kappa^{-1}}$. Loosely speaking, a Birkhoff normal form for p gives a Sternberg normal form for $\exp H_p$. This is the main idea in the following :

Theorem 0.2: Let Φ be as above. Then there is a smooth function $q(\iota)$ depending on the action variables ι alone, and a smooth canonical map κ, $\kappa(0,0) = (0,0)$, $d\kappa(\rho_0) = I$ such that $\kappa \circ \Phi \circ \kappa^{-1}(x,\xi) = \exp q(\iota)$.

Next we turn to the holomorphic case, and focus on the reduction of hamiltonians (see [It] for a discussion on necessary and sufficient conditions ensuring that such hamiltonians are integrable.)

Again the problem arises naturally in semi-classical Quantum Mechanics. As an example, consider $p(x,\xi)$ real analytic near $\rho_0 = (0,0) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, with a non-degenerate minimum at ρ_0, and let $\pm i\lambda_1, \cdots, \pm i\lambda_n$ be the purely imaginary eigenvalues of F_{ρ_0}, $\lambda_j > 0$, that we assume again rationally independent. When trying to construct the solution of some eikonal equation, we introduce $\widetilde{p}(z,\zeta) = -p(z-\zeta, i\zeta)$ as an holomorphic function on a neighborhood of 0 in $T^*\mathbf{C}^n$. Then $\widetilde{p}$ verifies the hypotheses above, namely if $\widetilde{p}_2$ denotes the quadratic part of $\widetilde{p}$, then $\langle d\widetilde{p}_2(0,0), (z,\zeta)\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j z_j \zeta_j$. This situation is met when studying microlocal properties of eigenfunctions for a magnetic Schrödinger operator $P(x, hD) = (hD - A(x))^2 + V(x)$ (see [MaSo].)

As usual in complex symplectic geometry, it is convenient to distinguish between several symplectic structures ; we send the reader to [Sj1], [MeSj] for the theory, and recall here simply the following fact: $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ is endowed with the complex canonical 2-form $\sigma_{\mathbf{C}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d\zeta_j \wedge dz_j$,

$z_j = x_j + iy_j$, $\zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j$, which makes it a symplectic space, and 2 real symplectic 2-forms : $\mathrm{Re}\sigma_{\mathbf{C}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d\xi_j \wedge dx_j - d\eta_j \wedge dy_j$, and $\mathrm{Im}\sigma_{\mathbf{C}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d\xi_j \wedge dy_j + d\eta_j \wedge dx_j$. Concerning integrability in the complex domain, we are led naturally to introduce the following :

Definition 0.3: Let $p(x,\xi)$ be a complex hamiltonian near ρ_0 and have a non degenerate critical point at ρ_0. We say that p is R-integrable iff there is a $\mathrm{Re}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$-canonical map $\kappa \in C^\infty$ around ρ_0 and a C^∞ function $q(\iota')$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,p \circ \kappa(x,\xi) = q(\iota')$. (Here ι' stand for the real and imaginary part of the complex action variables as in (0.3), and Poisson commute for the real symplectic structure.)

Equivalently, there exists a $\mathrm{Im}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$-canonical map $\widetilde{\kappa} \in C^\infty$, and a C^∞ function $\widehat{q}(\iota')$, such that $\mathrm{Im}\,p \circ \widetilde{\kappa}(x,\xi) = \widetilde{q}(\iota')$. We could define analogously a I-integrable hamiltonian, by requiring that $\mathrm{Im}\,p \circ \kappa(x,\xi) = q(\iota')$, for some $\mathrm{Re}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$-canonical map κ. Roughly speaking, a R- (resp. I-) integrable hamiltonian is integrable for real (resp. imaginary) times. If p is holomorphic and $\mathbf{C}$-integrable, (i.e. with respect to $\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$,) then it is both R and I-integrable, but there are not so many hamiltonians because of Siegel's result. In fact, H. Ito [It] has proved that Birkhoff series and Birkhoff transforms are convergent iff the hamiltonian is integrable in the usual sense, e.g. the corresponding dynamical system has, locally, n Poisson commuting integrals of motion. We have :

Theorem 0.4: Let $p(x,\xi)$ be a complex hamiltonian near ρ_0 and have a non degenerate critical point at ρ_0. Assume that $\overline{\partial}_{(y,\eta)} p = \mathcal{O}(|y,\eta|^\infty)$, and that the fundamental matrix F_{ρ_0} (in the holomorphic sense) has no purely imaginary eigenvalues. Then p is R-integrable in a complex neighborhood of ρ_0. Moreover, if κ denotes the $\mathrm{Re}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$-canonical map as in Definition 0.3, we have $\overline{\partial}_{(y,\eta)}\kappa = \mathcal{O}(|y,\eta|^\infty)$, and $\kappa^*(\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}) = \sigma_{\mathbf{C}} + \mathcal{O}(|y,\eta|^\infty)$.

Our result still looks quite poor, in the sense that we loose on the way almost every track of analyticity ; reduction to the normal Birkhoff form holds only modulo functions with $\overline{\partial}$ of rapid decrease near ρ_0. Of course again, we cannot expect convergence of Birkhoff series or Birkhoff transforms in a full complex neighborhood of ρ_0, except in the one dimensional case, see [It] and [HeSj2,App.b]. A more thorough approach should rely on resurgence theory for functions of several complex variables as in [Ec] ; this would of course help to understand better how does the system switch from integrability to non-integrability when moving around the origin in complex directions (see also [Ro2] for another type of results, where we study integrability and monodromy of κ, as a map defined on the covering of $T^*\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \rho_0$, in the complement of the stable and unstable manifolds.)

The paper is organized as follows:

In Section 1 we prove theorem 0.1 for hamiltonians, and give an equivalent formulation, via action-angle variables, which turned out to be useful in computing tunneling effects for a semi-classical Schrödinger operator (see [Ro1].) We discuss also briefly the case of a more general center manifold.

Section 2 is devoted to the Lewis-Sternberg normal form for canonical transforms.

In Section 3, we extend the Birkhoff normal form of theorem 0.1 and the Sternberg normal form of theorem 0.2, to the parameter dependent case, in the spirit of [IaSj].

In Section 4, we recall some wellknown facts about complex symplectic geometry and prove first the center stable/unstable manifold theorem in the almost holomorphic case. Then we turn to the proof of theorem 0.4, which is very similar to that of theorem 0.1. We conclude with some remark on monodromy.

In the Appendix, we recall a simple way of constructing Birkhoff series, including parameters.

Our results have a natural extension to semi-classical quantization as in [IaSj], but this will not be investigated here. We close this Introduction by listing some open problems :

1) What can be said about integrability when Spec $F_{\rho_0} \cap i\mathbf{R} = \{i\lambda, -i\lambda\}$, $\lambda > 0$, i.e. when the center-manifold associated with purely imaginary eigenvalues is of dimension 2 ? For higher dimensions, it is known that KAM torii can occur (see [Gr].)
2) What can be said about integrability in the (complex-) hyperbolic case, when some of the frequencies are resonant, or more precisely when the equilibrium point ρ_0 is "simply resonant" in the sense of [It] ?
3) Do our results extend to time-dependant hamiltonians (see again [It]) ?

Acknowledgements: I want to thank J. Sjöstrand who gave inspiration to this work, W. Craig and R. de la Llave for useful discussions. Cheerful thanks also to I.M. Sigal for his kind hospitality at the University of Toronto, where part of this work was done in fall 2000 under NSERC grant.

1. Birkhoff normal form and integrability : the real case.

We discuss here of "convergence" of Birkhoff normal forms for smooth hamiltonians near a fixed point ρ_0, or a closed trajectory of (possibly complex) hyperbolic type.

a) The hyperbolic fixed point.

Let p be a real valued hamiltonian with a nondegenerate critical point $\rho_0 \in T^*\mathbf{R}^n$ of complex hyperbolic type. First we recall some wellknown facts about the geometry of bicharacteristics of p near ρ_0 (see [Ch], [Sj2], [LasSj], etc ...) (though there seems to be a confusion in [Ch,p.707], between the invariant manifolds for the vector field X and its linear part X_0, the main arguments show up already in that paper.) Then we discuss a solvability problem for H_p in the class of smooth, flat functions at ρ_0. At last we prove Theorem 0.1. by the method of homotopy.

Let F_{ρ_0} denote the fundamental matrix of p at $\rho_0 = (0,0)$,

$$\frac{1}{2}F_{\rho_0} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x \partial \xi} & \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \xi^2} \\ -\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} & -\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x \partial \xi} \end{pmatrix} (\rho_0) = J \ \mathrm{Hess}(p)(\rho_0) \tag{1.1}$$

(where J is the symplectic matrix,) verifying $\mathrm{Hess}(p)(\rho_0) = p''_{\rho_0}(t,s) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(t, F_{\rho_0}(s))$. The factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is for convenience of notations. Since p''_{ρ_0} is non degenerate, F_{ρ_0} has no zero eigenvalues. As we are interested in the Birkhoff normal form, we readily assume that F_{ρ_0} is diagonalizable. Let $\Lambda_\pm \subset T_{\rho_0}\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ be the sum of all eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues with positive (resp. negative) real parts.

Classification of quadratic hamiltonians was made by Williamson cf. [Ar.App.6]. We know that eigenvalues of F_{ρ_0} are of the form $\lambda, \overline{\lambda}, -\lambda, -\overline{\lambda}$. These hamiltonians have a particular simple normal form when the eigenvalues are all distinct, and non vanishing. Assuming that F_{ρ_0} has no purely imaginary eigenvalues, in suitable symplectic coordinates $(x,\xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, the normal form for the quadratic part p_2 of p at ρ_0 is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(x,\xi) = {} & \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j x_j \xi_j + \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Big(c_j \big(x_{\ell+2j-1}\xi_{\ell+2j-1} + x_{\ell+2j}\xi_{\ell+2j} \big) + d_j \big(x_{\ell+2j-1}\xi_{\ell+2j} - x_{\ell+2j}\xi_{\ell+2j-1} \big) \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

with $\ell + 2m = n$. So Λ_+ is the sum of eigenspaces associated with $\lambda_j = a_j$, $(j = 1, \cdots, \ell\ )$ $\lambda_j = c_{j-\ell} \pm i d_{j-\ell}$, $(j = \ell+1, \cdots, \ell+m)$, and Λ_- is the sum of eigenspaces associated with the corresponding $-\lambda_j$, and $\Lambda_+ \oplus \Lambda_- = T_{\rho_0}\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. In these symplectic coordinates $\Lambda_+ = \{\xi = 0\}, \Lambda_- = \{x = 0\}$, and F_{ρ_0} has block diagonal form, the diagonal terms $\big(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_\ell\big)$, the 2×2 matrices $\begin{pmatrix} c_j & \pm d_j \\ \mp d_j & c_j \end{pmatrix}$ $(j = \ell+1, \cdots, \ell+m)$, the diagonal terms $\big(-\lambda_1, \cdots, -\lambda_\ell\big)$, and the 2×2 matrices $\begin{pmatrix} -c_j & \mp d_j \\ \pm d_j & -c_j \end{pmatrix}$ $(j = \ell+1, \cdots, \ell+m)$ respectively, which is the so-called Cartan decomposition. Note that Λ_+ and Λ_- are dual spaces for the symplectic form on $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. To simplify notations, we shall sometimes introduce complex symplectic coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} z_{\ell+2j} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_{\ell+2j} + ix_{\ell+2j-1}), \quad \zeta_{\ell+2j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi_{\ell+2j} - i\xi_{\ell+2j-1}), \\ z_{\ell+2j-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_{\ell+2j} - ix_{\ell+2j-1}), \quad \zeta_{\ell+2j-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi_{\ell+2j} + i\xi_{\ell+2j-1}), \quad j = 1, \cdots, m \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

(the variables x_j and ξ_j being as in (1.2).) Further we denote x_j for z_j, ξ_j for the dual coordinate ζ_j, and eventually label the collection of these symplectic coordinates, so that :

$$H_{p_2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j (x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}) \tag{1.4}$$

or

$$p''_{\rho_0}(t, s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \big(t_{x_j} s_{\xi_j} + t_{\xi_j} s_{x_j}\big)$$

Of course, we shall keep in mind that the complexification here is only formal, since no analyticity is assumed; this is no more than the usual identification consisting for instance in taking complex coordinates which diagonalize a rotation in the plane.

Now we turn to the non-linear case and recall the stable-unstable manifold theorem. This theorem has a long history : see e.g. [Ha] in the differentiable case, [Ch] or [Ne] for a proof based on Sternberg's linearization theorem, [AbMa], [AbRo] and references therein for more general statements. Note that these results are generally stated without symplectic structure, but most of them easily extend to this setting. See however [Sj2,App] in the analytic category, and Theorem 2.2 below for the almost holomorphic case.

Theorem 1.1: With notations above, in a neighborhood of ρ_0, there are H_p-invariant lagrangian manifolds $\mathcal{J}_\pm$ passing through ρ_0, such that $T_{\rho_0}(\mathcal{J}_\pm) = \Lambda_\pm$. Within $\mathcal{J}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_-$), ρ_0 is repulsive (resp. attractive) for H_p, and $p|_{\mathcal{J}_\pm} = 0$. We can also find real symplectic coordinates, denoted again by (x, ξ), such that their differential at ρ_0 verifies $d(x, \xi)(\rho_0) = \mathrm{Id}$, and $\mathcal{J}_+ = \{\xi = 0\}$, $\mathcal{J}_- = \{x = 0\}$. In these coordinates

$$p(x, \xi) = \langle A(x, \xi)x, \xi\rangle \tag{1.5}$$

where $A(x, \xi)$ is a real, $n \times n$ matrix with C^∞ coefficients, $A_0 = dA(\rho_0) = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ with the convention that if λ_j is complex, $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_j, \overline{\lambda}_j)$ denotes $\begin{pmatrix} c_j & -d_j \\ d_j & c_j \end{pmatrix}$.

It follows that

$$H_p = A_1(x, \xi)x \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - A_2(x, \xi)\xi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \tag{1.6}$$

with $A_j(x,\xi) = A_0 + \mathcal{O}\big(x,\xi\big)$, $A_0 = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$, $A_1(x,\xi) = A(x,\xi) + {}^t\partial_\xi A(x,\xi)\cdot\xi$, $A_2(x,\xi) = {}^tA(x,\xi) + \partial_x A(x,\xi)\cdot x$, and $\operatorname{Spec} A(x,\xi) = \operatorname{Spec} {}^tA(x,\xi) \subset \mathbf{R}^+$. Possibly after relabelling the coordinates, we may assume $0 < \operatorname{Re}\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \operatorname{Re}\lambda_n$.

Now we describe the flow of H_p, using Proposition 1.1. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the usual euclidean norm on $\mathbf{R}^n$. We put

$$B_0 = \int_0^\infty e^{-s\,{}^tA_0} e^{-sA_0}\, ds$$

which is a positive definite symmetric matrix, with the property ${}^tA_0 B_0 + B_0 A_0 = I$. In the present case where A_0 is diagonalizable,

$$B_0 = \operatorname{diag}\big(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l, \frac{1}{2}c_{\ell+1}, \frac{1}{2}c_{\ell+1}, \cdots, \frac{1}{2}c_{\ell+m}, \frac{1}{2}c_{\ell+m}\big)$$

If $\|x\|_0^2 = \langle B_0 x, x\rangle$ is the corresponding norm, then

$$A_0 x\cdot\partial_x \|x\|_0^2 = \|x\|^2, \quad A_0\xi\cdot\partial_\xi\|\xi\|_0^2 = \|\xi\|^2 \tag{1.7}$$

It follows from this and (1.6) that if $\|x\|_0^2 + \|\xi\|_0^2 \leq \delta^2$, for some $\delta > 0$ small enough, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|x\|_0^2 = H_p\|x\|_0^2 \geq C\|x\|^2, \quad -H_p\|\xi\|_0^2 \geq C\|\xi\|^2, \quad C > 0$$

For $\delta > 0$, we define the outgoing region

$$\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}} = \{(x,\xi) : \|\xi\|_0 < 2\|x\|_0,\ \|x\|_0^2 + \|\xi\|_0^2 < \delta^2\} \tag{1.9}$$

and let $\partial\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}$ denote its boundary. Let $t \mapsto (x(t),\xi(t)) = \exp tH_p\big(x(0),\xi(0)\big)$ be an integral curve of H_p with $\rho = \big(x(0),\xi(0)\big) \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}$. We have

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_1(x(t),\xi(t))x(t),\ \dot{\xi}(t) = -A_2(x(t),\xi(t))\xi(t) \tag{1.10}$$

So when $\rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}$, $\|x(t)\|_0$ is increasing and $\|\xi(t)\|_0$ decreasing as long as $\big(x(t),\xi(t)\big) \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}$, and moreover there is $C > 0$ such that for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$:

$$e^{-\operatorname{Re}\lambda_+(t)t} e^{-C\delta|t|}\|\xi(0)\|_0 \leq \|\xi(t)\|_0 \leq e^{-\operatorname{Re}\lambda_-(t)t} e^{C\delta|t|}\|\xi(0)\|_0 \tag{1.11}$$

$$e^{\operatorname{Re}\lambda_-(t)t} e^{-C\delta|t|}\|x(0)\|_0 \leq \|x(t)\|_0 \leq e^{\operatorname{Re}\lambda_+(t)t} e^{C\delta|t|}\|x(0)\|_0 \tag{1.12}$$

with the convention $\lambda_+(t) = \lambda_n$ and $\lambda_-(t) = \lambda_1$ for $t > 0$, $\lambda_+(t) = \lambda_1$ and $\lambda_-(t) = \lambda_n$ for $t < 0$. It follows that for any $\delta_0 > 0$, there is $\delta_1 > 0$ (say $\delta_1 = \delta_0/2$,) such that if $\rho \in \Omega_{\delta_1}^{\mathrm{out}}$, then $\exp(-tH_p)(\rho) \in \Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_0}$, $t \geq 0$, until the path meets $\partial\Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_0} \cap \{\|\xi\|_0 = 2\|x\|_0\}$. For each $\rho \in \Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_1}$, we define the hitting time

$$T^{\mathrm{out}}_-(\rho) = \inf\{t > 0 : \|\xi(-t)\|_0 \geq 2\|x(-t)\|_0\}, \tag{1.13}$$

i.e. the time for the path $\exp(-tH_p)(\rho)$ to reach the cone $\|\xi\|_0 = 2\|x\|_0$. Since $\exp(-tH_p)(\rho)$ is a C^∞ function of ρ and t, it follows from the implicit function theorem that $T^{\mathrm{out}}_-(\rho)$ is a C^∞ function of $\rho \in \Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_1} \setminus \mathcal{J}_+$. For $\rho = (x, 0) \in \mathcal{J}_+$, we set $T^{\mathrm{out}}_-(\rho) = +\infty$, and we leave it undefined for $\rho = 0$. Similarly, for $\rho \in \Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_1}$ we define

$$T^{\mathrm{out}}_+(\rho) = \inf\{t > 0 : \|x(t)\|_0^2 + \|\xi(t)\|_0^2 \geq \delta_0^2\}, \tag{1.14}$$

to be the time for the path $\exp\,(tH_p)(\rho)$ to leave the ball $\|x\|_0^2 + \|\xi\|_0^2 < \delta_0^2$. Again, $T^{\mathrm{out}}_+(\rho)$ is a C^∞ function of $\rho \in \Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_1}$. Moreover, there is $\tau > 0$ such that for all $\rho \in \Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_1}$, $\exp(tH_p)(\rho) \notin \Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_0}$ for $T^{\mathrm{out}}_-(\rho) \leq t \leq T^{\mathrm{out}}_-(\rho) + \tau$. Since we are interested in local properties of the flow near ρ_0, we can modify, without loss of generality, $p(x, \xi)$ outside a small neighborhood of ρ_0 such that the path $\exp\,(tH_p)(\rho)$, $\rho \in \Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_1}$, will never enter again $\Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_{\delta_0}$ after time $T^{\mathrm{out}}_+(\rho)$, i.e. we may assume $\tau = +\infty$. From now on, we change notation δ_0 and δ_1 to δ for simplicity, keeping in mind that δ is a sufficiently small, but fixed positive number.

We define in a similar way the incoming region

$$\Omega^{\mathrm{in}}_\delta = \{(x, \xi) : \|x\|_0 < 2\|\xi\|_0,\ \|x\|_0^2 + \|\xi\|_0^2 < \delta^2\} \tag{1.15}$$

and the hitting times $T^{\mathrm{in}}_\pm(\rho)$. More precisely,

$$T^{\mathrm{in}}_-(\rho) = \inf\{t > 0 : \|x(-t)\|_0^2 + \|\xi(-t)\|_0^2 \geq \delta^2\} \tag{1.16}$$

$$T^{\mathrm{in}}_+(\rho) = \inf\{t > 0 : \|x(t)\|_0 \geq 2\|\xi(t)\|_0\} \tag{1.17}$$

As above, we may assume that the flow starting from any point $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ crosses at most once the region $\Omega_\delta = \Omega^{\mathrm{in}}_\delta \cup \Omega^{\mathrm{out}}_\delta$. Then estimates (1.11) and (1.12) hold for all $(x, \xi) \in \Omega_\delta$, and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$ provided $\big(x(t), \xi(t)\big) \in \Omega_\delta$.

Now let I denote the ideal of $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ consisting in all smooth functions vanishing at ρ_0. We want to solve the homological equation $H_p f = g$ in I^∞. This is of course essentially wellknown : see e.g. [GuSt,p.175] for analogous results. So let $\chi^{\mathrm{out}} + \chi^{\mathrm{in}} = 1$ be a smooth partition of unity in the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^{2n-1}$ such that supp $\chi^{\mathrm{out}} \subset \{\|\xi\|_0 < 2\|x\|_0\}$, supp $\chi^{\mathrm{in}} \subset \{\|x\|_0 < 2\|\xi\|_0\}$. We extend χ^{out}, χ^{in} as homogeneous functions of degree 0 on $T^*\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \rho_0$.

Proposition 1.2 : Let ρ_0 be an hyperbolic fixed point for p as above, and $g \in I^\infty$. Let

$$f^{\rm out}(\rho) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \big(\chi^{\rm out} g\big) \circ \exp(tH_p)(\rho) dt, \ f^{\rm in}(\rho) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} \big(\chi^{\rm in} g\big) \circ \exp(tH_p)(\rho) dt$$

Then $f = f^{\rm out} + f^{\rm in} \in I^\infty$ solves $H_p f = g$.

Proof: We treat the case of $f^{\rm out}$, this of $f^{\rm in}$ is similar. Let $\delta_0 > 0$ small enough, and $\Omega_{\delta_1}^{\rm out\,/\,in}$ be as above. Without loss of generality, we may assume supp $g \subset \Omega_{\delta_0} = \Omega_{\delta_0}^{\rm out} \cup \Omega_{\delta_0}^{\rm in}$, so $\operatorname{supp}(\chi^{\rm out} g) \subset \Omega_{\delta_0}^{\rm out}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$(\operatorname{supp} f^{\rm out}) \cap \Omega_{\delta_1} \subset \Omega_{\delta_1}^{\rm out}$$

so we will assume $\rho \in \Omega_{\delta_1}^{\rm out}$, and as above write δ for δ_0 or δ_1. If $\rho \in \Omega_{\delta}^{\rm out} \setminus \mathcal{J}_+$, we have $f^{\rm out}(\rho) = \int_{-T_-^{\rm out}(\rho)}^{0} \big(\chi^{\rm out} g\big) \circ \exp(tH_p)(\rho) dt$, since $\exp(tH_p)(\rho) \notin \operatorname{supp} \chi^{\rm out}$ for $t < -T_-^{\rm out}(\rho)$. Furthermore,

$$H_p f^{\rm out}(\rho) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{d}{dt}\big(\big(\chi^{\rm out} g\big) \circ \exp(tH_p)(\rho)\big) dt = (\chi^{\rm out} g)(\rho)$$

When $\rho \in \mathcal{J}_+$, $\exp(tH_p)(\rho) \to 0$ when $t \to -\infty$ and the integral makes sense because of (1.12) and the fact that $g(\rho) = \mathcal{O}(\rho)$, as $\rho \to 0$. Again $H_p f^{\rm out}(\rho) = \chi^{\rm out} g(\rho)$. We are left to show that $f^{\rm out} \in I^\infty$. Because of (1.12) and $\|\xi(t)\|_0 \leq 2\|x(t)\|_0$ in supp $\chi^{\rm out}$, $f^{\rm out}$ is continuous and vanishes at $\rho = 0$. To show that $f^{\rm out} \in C^1$, we write, following [IaSj] :

$$d\big((\chi^{\rm out} g) \circ \exp(tH_p)(\rho)\big) = \big(d(\chi^{\rm out} g)(\exp(tH_p)(\rho)\big) \circ d\exp(tH_p)(\rho) \tag{1.19}$$

so we need to examine the evolution of $d\kappa_t(\rho) = d\exp(tH_p)(\rho)$ along the integral curve κ_t of H_p starting at ρ. Differentiating $\partial_t \kappa_t(\rho) = H_p\big(\kappa_t(\rho)\big)$ we find

$$\partial_t d\kappa_t(\rho) = \frac{\partial H_p}{\partial \rho}(\kappa_t(\rho)) \circ \big(d\kappa_t(\rho)\big), \quad d\kappa_0(\rho) = \mathrm{Id} \tag{1.20}$$

with $\frac{\partial H_p}{\partial \rho}(\rho) = 2F_{\rho_0} + \mathcal{O}(\rho)$, and Gronwall lemma applied to (1.20), as in (1.11) and (1.12) gives for $\kappa_t(\rho) \in \Omega_\delta^{\rm out}$, all $t \leq 0$:

$$e^{-(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_1 - C\delta)t} \leq \|d\xi_t(\rho)\| \leq e^{-(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n + C\delta)t} \tag{1.21}$$

$$e^{(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n + C\delta)t} \leq \|dx_t(\rho)\| \leq e^{(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_1 - C\delta)t} \tag{1.22}$$

so $d\kappa_t(\rho) = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n + C\delta)t}\big)$.

On the other hand, g being flat at 0, $d(\chi^{\mathrm{out}}g)(\exp(tH_p)(\rho) = \mathcal{O}(\|x_t(\rho)\|^N)$, any N, so taking N large enough, we see that $d\big((\chi^{\mathrm{out}}g)\circ \exp(tH_p)(\rho)\big)$ is integrable, so $f^{\mathrm{out}} \in C^1$, and vanishes at 0. To continue, we take partial derivative of (1.20) with respect to ρ_j, $j = 1, \cdots, 2n$ and write

$$\partial_t \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} d\kappa_t(\rho) - \frac{\partial H_p}{\partial \rho}(\kappa_t(\rho)) \circ \big(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j}(d\kappa_t(\rho)\big) = F_j(t,\rho) \tag{1.23}$$

with

$$F_j(t,\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2 H_p}{\partial \rho_k \partial \rho}(\kappa_t(\rho)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j}\kappa_{t,k}(\rho) \circ d\kappa_t(\rho) \tag{1.24}$$

Using the group property, we write (1.20) as

$$\partial_t d\kappa_{t-\widetilde{t}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho)\big) \circ d\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho) = \frac{\partial H_p}{\partial \rho}\big(\kappa_{t-\widetilde{t}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho)\big)\big) \circ d\kappa_{t-\widetilde{t}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho)\big) \circ d\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho), \quad d\kappa_0(\rho) = \mathrm{Id}$$

Since $\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}$ is a canonical map, $d\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}$ is invertible, so

$$\partial_t d\kappa_{t-\widetilde{t}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho)\big) = \frac{\partial H_p}{\partial \rho}\big(\kappa_{t-\widetilde{t}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho)\big)\big) \circ d\kappa_{t-\widetilde{t}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho)\big), \quad d\kappa_0(\rho) = \mathrm{Id}$$

So we recognize $d\kappa_{t-\widetilde{t}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho)\big)$, $d\kappa_{\widetilde{t}-\widetilde{t}}(\rho) = \mathrm{Id}$ as the fundamental matrix of our $2n \times 2n$ system of ordinary differential equations, and Duhamel's principle gives, since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} d\kappa_t(\rho)|_{t=0} = 0$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} d\kappa_t(\rho) = \int_0^t d\kappa_{t-\widetilde{t}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{t}}(\rho)\big) \circ F_j(\widetilde{t},\rho) d\widetilde{t} \tag{1.25}$$

Fom (1.21) and (1.22) we find the estimate $F_j(\widetilde{t},\rho) = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-2(\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_n + C\delta)\widetilde{t}}\big)$, and by integration

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} d\kappa_t(\rho) = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-2(\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_n + C\delta)t}\big) \tag{1.26}$$

On the other hand, differentiating (1.19) with respect to ρ_j we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} d\big((\chi^{\mathrm{out}}g) \circ \kappa_t(\rho)\big) &= d(\chi^{\mathrm{out}}g)\big(\kappa_t(\rho)\big) \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} d\kappa_t(\rho) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_k} d\big(\chi^{\mathrm{out}}g\big)\big(\kappa_t(\rho)\big) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j}\kappa_{t,k}(\rho) \circ d\kappa_t(\rho) \end{aligned} \tag{1.27}$$

Using (1.26), and again (1.21), (1.22), the estimates

$$d(\chi^{\mathrm{out}}g) \circ \big(\kappa_t(\rho)\big), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_k} d\big(\chi^{\mathrm{out}}g\big)\big(\kappa_t(\rho)\big) = \mathcal{O}\big(\|x_t(\rho)\|^N\big)$$

ensure once more the integrability of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} d\big((\chi^{\text{out}} g) \circ \kappa_t(\rho)\big)$, so $f^{\text{out}} \in C^2$ and we can see that its second derivatives vanish at 0. The argument carries over easily by induction, so the Proposition is proved. ♣

Note that we used here for convenience C^∞ coordinates adapted to $\mathcal{J}_\pm$, but the proof is essentially independent of coordinates (see a variant of this in Proposition 4.3 below.)

Now we are ready for proving Theorem 0.1, by combining the Birkhoff normal form (see e.g. Appendix for a simple proof) and a deformation argument. When p has a non degenerate critical point with non-resonant frequencies, we know that there is a smooth canonical transform κ between neighborhoods of 0, leaving fixed the origin, such that $p \circ \kappa(x,\xi) = q_0(\iota) + r(x,\xi)$, where $\iota = (\iota_1, \cdots, \iota_n)$ are the action variables as in (0.3), and $r \in I^\infty$ depends also on the corresponding dual (angle) variables. The hamiltonian $q_0(\iota)$ satisfies the same hypotheses as p, and is constructed from the formal Taylor series by a Borel sum of the type $q_0(\iota) = \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{q}_k(\iota)\chi\big(\frac{\iota}{\varepsilon_k}\big)$, $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ equal to 1 near 0, $\varepsilon_k \to 0$ fast enough as $k \to \infty$, and $\widetilde{q}_k(\iota)$ is homogeneous of degree k. The canonical transformation is of the form $\kappa = \exp H_{\widetilde{f}}$ for some smooth $\widetilde{f}$. We shall try to construct a family κ_s of canonical transformations, $0 \leq s \leq 1$, tangent to identity at infinite order, such that $\kappa_0 = I$ and κ_1 solves $p \circ \kappa \circ \kappa_1 = q_0$. The deformation (or homotopy) method consists in finding a C^∞ field X_s along which some property is conserved, in that case the property for a smooth family of hamiltonians, interpolating between p and q_0, of being integrable. It reduces here essentially to solving a homological equation as in Proposition 1.2 (see [ArVaGo] for an introduction, and also [GuSc,p.168], [MeSj], [BaLlWa], [IaSj] ..., for other applications more directly relevant to our problem.) So let $q_s = q_0 + sr$, $0 \leq s \leq 1$, and look for κ_s such that

$$q_s \circ \kappa_s = q_0 \tag{1.30}$$

Then $\kappa_s|_{s=1}$ will solve our problem. The deformation field

$$X_s(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} v_{s,j}(\rho) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} \in I^\infty(T\mathbf{R}^{2n})$$

is such that

$$\partial_s \kappa_s = v_s \circ \kappa_s \tag{1.31}$$

Differentiating (1.30) gives $r \circ \kappa_s + \frac{\partial q_s}{\partial \rho}(\kappa_s) \circ \partial_s \kappa_s = 0$, or

$$r \circ \kappa_s + \langle v_s\big(\kappa_s(\rho)\big), q_s\big(\kappa_s(\rho)\big)\rangle = 0$$

Furthermore, we require X_s to be hamiltonian, i.e. $v_s = H_{f_s}$, $f_s \in I^\infty$, so we get

$$\langle H_{f_s}, q_s\rangle = -\langle H_{q_s}, f_s\rangle = -r \tag{1.32}$$

all quantities being evaluated at $\kappa_s(\rho)$. We want to apply Proposition 1.2 to $p = q_s$, $g = r$, so we move to the new symplectic coordinates (adapted to the outgoing/incoming manifolds) by composing with smooth canonical transformations Φ_s, i.e. replace H_{q_s} by $(\Phi_s)^* H_{q_s}$, f_s by $(\Phi_s)^* f_s$, etc..., so omitting for brevity these coordinates transformations when no confusion might occur, Proposition 1.2 gives $f_s \in I^\infty$ solving (1.32). So we are led to show, that given $H_{f_s} \in I^\infty$, (1.31) has a solution of the form $\kappa_s = I + \kappa'_s$, $\kappa'_s \in I^\infty$. Existence for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ follows e.g. from Gronwall lemma, truncating q_s outside a neighborhood of 0, and the condition $\kappa_0 = I$ gives

$$\|\kappa_s(\rho)\| \leq C\|\rho\|, \quad C > 0 \tag{1.33}$$

for $\|\rho\| < \delta$. We want to show $\kappa'_s(\rho) = \mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty)$. Recall from the proof of Proposition 1.2 that, by the group property, $d\kappa_s(\rho)$ is the fundamental solution for the system $\partial_s Y(\rho, s) = \frac{\partial H_{f_s}}{\partial \rho}\big(\kappa_s(\rho)\big) Y(\rho, s)$. Since $d\kappa'_s(\rho)$ solves

$$\partial_s d\kappa'_s(\rho) - \frac{\partial H_{f_s}}{\partial \rho}(\kappa_s(\rho)) \circ \big(d\kappa'_s(\rho)\big) = \frac{\partial H_{f_s}}{\partial \rho}(\kappa_s(\rho)), \quad d\kappa'_s(0) = 0 \tag{1.34}$$

Duhamel's principle gives

$$d\kappa'_s(\rho) = \int_0^s d\kappa_{s-\widetilde{s}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{s}}(\rho)\big) \circ \frac{\partial H_{f_s}}{\partial \rho}(\kappa_{\widetilde{s}}(\rho)) d\widetilde{s}$$

Since $\frac{\partial H_{f_s}}{\partial \rho}(\kappa_{\widetilde{s}}(\rho)) = \mathcal{O}(\|(\kappa_{\widetilde{s}}(\rho))\|^N)$, (1.33) gives $\frac{\partial H_{f_s}}{\partial \rho}(\kappa_{\widetilde{s}}(\rho)) = \mathcal{O}(\|\rho\|^N)$, and $d\kappa_{s-\widetilde{s}}\big(\kappa_{\widetilde{s}}(\rho)\big) = \mathcal{O}(1)$, so chosing N large enough, we get $d\kappa'_s(\rho) = \mathcal{O}(\|\rho\|^2)$. Integrating this relation, we get again $\kappa'_s(\rho) = \mathcal{O}(\|\rho\|)$. Taking partial derivative of (1.34) with respect to ρ_j as in the proof of Proposition 1.2 yields also $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_j} d\kappa'_s(\rho) = \mathcal{O}(\|\rho\|)$, and a straightforward induction argument shows $\kappa'_s \in I^\infty$, uniformly for s on compact sets. Taking $s = 1$ and undoing the transformation $\Phi_s|_{s=1}$ give eventually the result. ♣

We pause for a while, presenting our result in some different way. It is sometimes convenient to perform the Birkhoff transform in action-angle coordinates (see [Ga,p.473] for the elliptic case.) We restrict for simplicity to the usual case of a (real-) hyperbolic fixed point, where

$$p(x, \xi) = \xi^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2 x_j^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|^3)$$

The corresponding Williamson coordinates are then given by the linear symplectic transformation $\kappa_1(x,\xi)=(y,\eta)$, $\sqrt{2}\lambda_j y_j=\lambda_j x_j+\xi_j$, $\sqrt{2}\lambda_j\eta_j=-\lambda_j x_j+\xi_j$. We define hyperbolic action-angle coordinates (ι,φ) by the formulas $\lambda_j x_j=\sqrt{2\lambda_j\iota_j}\cosh\varphi_j$, $\xi_j=\sqrt{2\lambda_j\iota_j}\sinh\varphi_j$, and set $\kappa_0(\iota,\varphi)=(x,\xi)$. Let κ be the canonical transform of theorem 0.1, and define $\widetilde{\kappa}=\kappa_0^{-1}\circ\kappa_1^{-1}\circ\kappa\circ\kappa_1\circ\kappa_0$. Then, with $\kappa(y,\eta)=(y',\eta')=(y,\eta)+\mathcal{O}(|y,\eta|^2)$, we have $\widetilde{\kappa}(\iota,\varphi)=(\iota',\varphi')$, $2\lambda_j\iota'_j-2\lambda_j y'_j\eta_j=-{\xi'_j}^2+\lambda_j^2{x'_j}^2$, where $\kappa_1(x',\xi')=(y',\eta')$. There exists a smooth generating function $S(\iota',\phi)$ such that $\iota=\partial_\varphi S(\iota',\varphi)$, $\varphi'=\partial_{\iota'}S(\iota',\varphi)$, and of the form $S(\iota',\varphi)=\langle\iota',\varphi\rangle+\Phi(\iota',\varphi)$. Here $\partial_{\iota'}\Phi(\iota',\varphi)=\mathcal{O}(\iota')$, $\partial_\varphi\Phi(\iota',\varphi)=\mathcal{O}(\iota'^2)$, uniformly for φ in compact sets, and ι' small enough. Moreover, $p=q(\iota')$.

b) Integrability near a closed trajectory of hyperbolic type.

In this section we consider an hamiltonian flow with a non trivial center manifold. More precisely, let $p=p_E$ be a smooth, real (family of) hamiltonian(s) on $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ (E is one of the $2n$ variables,) and K the set of trapped trajectories near energy 0 :

$$K=\{\rho\in p_E^{-1}(0),\ E\in J=[-\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_0],\ \exp(tH_{p_E})(\rho)\not\to\infty,\ \text{as } t\to\pm\infty\}$$

Let $K_\varepsilon=K\cap p_E^{-1}(0)$, $E=\varepsilon$ small, and assume for simplicity we are in the situation where $K_0=\gamma_0$ is a closed trajectory of hyperbolic type. This is the case when p_E is a function of $2(n-1)$ phase variables $(x',\xi')\in T\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$, periodic with respect to $\theta\in\mathbf{S}^1$; parameter E then stands for the dual variable.

Then in a neighborhood of K, there is a smooth, symplectic, closed submanifold Σ of dimension 2, containing K_0 and such that H_{p_E} is tangent to Σ everywhere. We call Σ the center manifold of γ_0, and it is nothing but the one-parameter family of closed trajectories $\gamma_\varepsilon\subset p_E^{-1}(0)$, $E=\varepsilon$ small. Hyperbolicity means that p_E vanishes of second order on Σ, and for all $\rho\in\Sigma$, the fundamental matrix F_ρ as in (1.1) is of rank $2n-2$, and has no purely imaginary eigenvalues. In the case at hand, we will assume that these eigenvalues are rationally independent. For $\rho\in\Sigma$, let as above $\Lambda_\pm(\rho)\subset T_\rho(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ be the $(n-1)$-dimensional isotropic subspaces whose complexifications are the sum of all complex eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues with positive/negative real parts. We have the splitting $(T_\rho\Sigma)^\perp=\Lambda_+(\rho)\oplus\Lambda_-(\rho)$, where $(\bullet)^\perp$ stands for " symplectic orthogonal". The restriction σ_Σ of σ to $T\Sigma^\perp$ is clearly invariant under H_{p_E}. Again, we recall the center-stable-unstable manifold theorem extending Theorem 1.1 :

Theorem 1.6: With notations above, in a neighborhood of Σ, there are (unique) H_{p_E}-invariant, smooth involutive manifolds $\mathcal{J}_\pm$ passing through Σ, such that for all $\rho\in\Sigma$, $T_\rho(\mathcal{J}_\pm)=\Lambda_\pm(\rho)$. Within $\mathcal{J}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_-$), Σ is repulsive (resp. attractive) for H_{p_E}, and

$p_E|_{\mathcal{J}_\pm} = 0$ (recall that E is one of the variables.) We can also find real symplectic coordinates, denoted again by $(x,\xi) = \big((x',x''),(\xi',\xi'')\big)$, such that their differential verifies $d(x,\xi)|_\Sigma = \mathrm{Id}$, Σ is given by $(x',\xi') = 0$, $\mathcal{J}_+ = \{\xi' = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{J}_- = \{x' = 0\}$. In these coordinates

$$p_E(x,\xi) = \langle A(x,\xi)x',\xi'\rangle \tag{1.40}$$

where $A(x,\xi)$ is a real, $(n-1)\times(n-1)$ matrix with C^∞ coefficients, and eigenvalues $\lambda_1(x'',\xi''),\cdots,\lambda_{n-1}(x'',\xi'')$.

Of course, $\mathcal{J}_+$ depend on E, and also on θ that we have omitted in the notations. We may now also forget the variable E. Theorem 1.6 is proved e.g. as in Theorem 2.2 below.

Our constructions extend readily to this situation. We still define the outgoing/incoming region, for instance

$$\Omega_f^{\mathrm{out}} = \{(x,\xi) : \|\xi'\|_0 < 2\|x'\|_0,\ \|x'\|_0^2 + \|\xi'\|_0^2 < f(x'',\xi'')\} \tag{1.41}$$

where f is a smooth, positive function with sufficiently small support and small derivatives.

Now let I_Σ denote the ideal of $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ consisting in all smooth functions in $\{\|x'\|_0^2 + \|\xi'\|_0^2 < f(x'',\xi'')\}$ vanishing at Σ. We choose as above a smooth partition of unity $\chi^{\mathrm{out}}+\chi^{\mathrm{in}} = 1$ in the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^{2n-3}$ such that supp $\chi^{\mathrm{out}} \subset \{\|\xi'\|_0 < 2\|x'\|_0\}$, supp $\chi^{\mathrm{in}} \subset \{\|x'\|_0 < 2\|\xi'\|_0\}$, and extend χ^{out}, χ^{in} as homogeneous functions of degree 0 on $T^*\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Sigma$. Then for p as above, and $g \in I_\Sigma^\infty$, if

$$f^{\mathrm{out}}(\rho) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \big(\chi^{\mathrm{out}}g\big)\circ\exp(tH_p)(\rho)dt,\ f^{\mathrm{in}}(\rho) = -\int_0^\infty \big(\chi^{\mathrm{in}}g\big)\circ\exp(tH_p)(\rho)dt$$

then $f = f^{\mathrm{out}} + f^{\mathrm{in}} \in I_\Sigma^\infty$ solves $H_pf = g$.

Let $\rho_0 \in \Sigma$ be such that the non resonance condition holds on the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(\rho_0)$, $\ldots$, $\lambda_{n-1}(\rho_0)$, and apply the Birkhoff normal form to p. Then there exist a smooth canonical transform κ for the symplectic 2-form σ_Σ, and a smooth hamiltonian $q_0(\iota')$, where $\iota' = (\iota_1,\cdots,\iota_{n-1})$ are action variables as in (1.3) built from the (x',ξ')-coordinates, such that

$$p\circ\kappa(x,\xi) = q_0(\iota') + r(x,\xi),\ r \in I_\Sigma^\infty,\ (x,\xi) \in \text{neigh }(\rho_0,\mathbf{R}^{2n}) \tag{1.42}$$

Next we pass to the deformation procedure, composing with a new canonical transformation, preserving σ_Σ, to remove the remainder r. So we get, with a new κ :

$$p\circ\kappa(x,\xi) = q_0(\iota'),\ (x,\xi) \in \mathrm{neigh}(\rho_0,\mathbf{R}^{2n}) \tag{1.43}$$

To formulate a semi-global result we assume that the fundamental matrix of p (for the 2-form σ_Σ) is constant on Σ, with non resonant frequencies as above. The constructions above depending smoothly on $\rho_0 \in \Sigma$, we have found a smooth fibre bundle over Σ, foliated by action-angle coordinates in $T\Sigma^\perp$ adapted to p. The question of triviality for this bundle is left open. See [CuB], [Vu] for other (semi-)global aspects of integrability.

2. The Lewis-Sternberg normal form for the Poincaré map.

In this section we prove Theorem 0.2. First we recall the following version of a theorem of Lewis-Sternberg ([St,Thm1,Corollary1.1], [Fr,ThmV.1] and [IaSj] for a detailed proof.) For simplicity we content to a particular case relevant to our problem. So assume A is a real $2n \times 2n$ symplectic matrix and has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$, $1/\lambda_1, \cdots, 1/\lambda_n$, $\overline{\lambda}_1, \cdots, \overline{\lambda}_n$, $1/\overline{\lambda}_1, \cdots, 1/\overline{\lambda}_n$, none of them is of modulus 1. Then there is a natural choice of the logarithm $B = \log A$, and B is antisymmetric for the canonical 2-form on $T^*\mathbf{R}^n$. Let $\mu_j = \log \lambda_j$, in such a way that $\overline{\lambda}_j$ corresponds to $\overline{\mu}_j$, and $p_0(\rho) = b(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\rho, B\rho)$. Assume that for $k_j \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$\sum k_j \mu_j \in 2i\pi\mathbf{Z} \Longrightarrow \sum k_j \mu_j = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

We have the following :

Theorem 2.1: Let $\Phi : \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \to \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ be a smooth canonical transformation, leaving fixed $\rho_0 = 0$, and $A = d\Phi(\rho_0)$ as above. Then there $p \in C^\infty$ defined near ρ_0, uniquely determined modulo I^∞, (for a given choice of p_0) such that $p(\rho) = p_0(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3)$ and

$$\Phi(\rho) = \exp H_p(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty) \tag{2.2}$$

Let us sketch from [IaSj] the main ideas of the proof. As above, is relies on a deformation argument. Given p_s a smooth real function depending smoothly on the real parameter s, $p_s(\rho) = b(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3)$, we consider the corresponding canonical transformation

$$\Phi_{t,s} = \exp tH_{p_s}$$

Since p_s vanishes to second order at ρ_0, the germ of $\Phi_{t,s}$ at ρ_0 is well defined for all real t. Arguing as in Proposition 1.2, the first variation with respect to s is integrated between 0 and t, which gives :

$$\partial_s \Phi_{t,s} = (\Phi_{t,s})_* H_{q_{t,s}} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$q_{t,s} = \int_0^t \partial_s p_s \circ \Phi_{\widetilde{t},s} d\widetilde{t} \tag{2.4}$$

In this formula, we take $t = 1$ (deleting the corresponding subscript) and consider a problem where $\partial_s p_s$ will be the unknown. More precisely, starting from $\Phi_0 = \exp H_b$, we want to find p such that $\Phi(\rho) = \exp H_p(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty)$, by interpolating with a family

$$\Phi_s(\rho) = \exp H_{p_s}(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty),\ 0 \leq s \leq 1$$

If we define $q_s(\rho) = \mathcal{O}(\rho^3)$ by $\partial_s \Phi_s = (\Phi_s)_* H_{q_s}$ as in (2.3), then we solve (2.4) by successive approximations, as

$$q_s = \int_0^1 \partial_s p_s^{(N)} \circ \exp tH_{p_s^{(N)}}(\rho) dt + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{N+1}) \tag{2.5}$$

with $p_s^{(0)} = b(\rho)$. This can be done precisely because of hypothesis (2.1), and it can be shown that the sequence $p_s^{(N)}$ is asymptotic, for ρ near 0, to a C^∞ function $p_s(\rho)$. Taking $s = 1$ gives (2.2) with $p = p_1$. Uniqueness follows again from a deformation argument. ♣

Assume further as in Theorem 0.2 that the μ_j's are rationally independent, i.e.

$$\sum k_j \mu_j = 0 \Longrightarrow k_j = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

Using Birkhoff transformations shows that there is a smooth κ, $\kappa(\rho_0) = \rho_0$ such that $p \circ \kappa(\rho) = q(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty)$ and $q = q(\iota)$ depends on the action variable only. So we have

$$\kappa^{-1} \circ \exp H_p \circ \kappa = \exp H_q \mod I^\infty \tag{2.7}$$

and again by Theorem 2.1

$$\kappa^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ \kappa = \exp H_q \mod I^\infty \tag{2.8}$$

Recall the following result, which is the symplectic version of the Lewis-Sternberg theorem, and whose proof is very similar to our Theorem 0.1 :

Theorem 2.2 [BaLLWa]: Let $f, g : \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ be smooth canonical transformations, leaving fixed $\rho_0 = 0$, and assume they are tangent to infinite order at ρ_0. Let $A = df(\rho_0)$ have its spectrum outside the unit circle as above. Then there is a smooth canonical transform χ leaving fixed ρ_0, $d\chi(\rho_0) = \mathrm{Id}$, such that $\chi^{-1} \circ f \circ \chi = g$.

Now it is clear that Theorem 0.2 immediately follows from Theorem 2.2 and (2.7), (2.8) applied to $f=\kappa^{-1}\circ\Phi\circ\kappa$, and $g=\exp H_q$. ♣

3. Parameter dependent case.

We extend some of the previous results, taking advantage of the fact observed in [IaSj], that the Birkhoff normal form can be carried out nearby critical points with non resonant frequencies.

a) The Birkhoff normal form.

Let $p^{(s)}\in C^\infty$ as in the Appendix, depend smoothly on $s\in \text{neigh}(0,\mathbf{R}^k)$, $p^{(s)}(\rho_0)=0$, and have a non-degenerate critical point of hyperbolic type at ρ_0. (In some applications, the critical point depends on s, but choosing suitable linear symplectic coordinates and changing $p^{(s)}$ by a constant we are in this situation.) Possibly after performing another linear symplectic transformation, we may assume that its quadratic part is of the form

$$p_2^{(s)}(x,\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j^{(s)}x_j\xi_j \tag{3.1}$$

with coordinates independent of s. (For simplicity we take real frequencies.) For $s=0$, we suppose the $\mu_j=\mu_j^{(0)}$ rationally independent. Then Proposition A.1 below shows there is a smooth family of canonical transforms, $\kappa^{(s)}$, $\kappa^{(s)}(\rho_0)=\rho_0$, such that

$$p^{(s)}\circ\kappa^{(s)}(\rho)=q^{(s)}(\iota)+r^{(s)}(\rho),\ r^{(s)}(\rho)=\mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty)+\mathcal{O}(s^\infty\rho^3) \tag{3.2}$$

with the principal part of $q^{(s)}$ as in (3.1). Looking at the deformation procedure, we see that we can apply the stable/unstable manifold theorem to $Q_\sigma(\rho)=q^{(s)}(\iota)+\sigma r^{(s)}(\rho)$, $0\le\sigma\le 1$, and if we decompose $r^{(s)}=u^{(s)}+v^{(s)}$, $v^{(s)}=\mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty)$, $u^{(s)}=\mathcal{O}(s^\infty\rho^3)$, we are able to solve $H_{Q_\sigma}f_\sigma=v^{(s)}$, for $f_\sigma\in I^\infty$. Then the vector field $X_\sigma=H_{f_\sigma}$ generates a 1-parameter family of canonical transformations κ_σ as in (1.31), and for $\sigma=1$ we get

$$p^{(s)}\circ\kappa^{(s)}\circ\kappa_1(\rho)=q^{(s)}(\iota)+\mathcal{O}(s^\infty\rho^3) \tag{3.3}$$

which is the normal form for $p^{(s)}$.

b) The Lewis-Sternberg normal form.

As in [IaSj] we extend Theorem 0.2 to the parameter dependent case, thinking for instance of the Poincaré map that depends smoothly on the energy. For simplicity we just vary one parameter $s\in\text{neigh}(0,\mathbf{R})$. Let $\Phi^s:\text{neigh}(0,\mathbf{R}^{2n})\to\text{neigh}(0,\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, $s\in\text{neigh}(0,\mathbf{R})$, be a smooth family of smooth canonical transformations, leaving fixed $\rho_0 = 0$, and $A^s = d\Phi^s(\rho_0)$. We assume that $\Phi = \Phi^0$ fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, so that

$$\Phi(\rho) = \exp H_p(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty)$$

where $p = p^0$ is unique modulo I^∞ and the choice of its quadratic part. As above, we want to extend p to a smooth real-valued family p^s, with :

$$\Phi^s(\rho) = \exp H_{p^s}(\rho) + \rho^2 \mathcal{O}\big((\rho, s)^\infty\big) \tag{3.5}$$

Define $q^s = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$ as in (2.4) (with $t = 1$) so that $(\Phi^s)^* \partial_s \Phi^s = H_{q^s}$. At the level of Taylor expansions, we replace (2.4) by its approximation and the problem is to find q^s such that :

$$q^s = \int_0^1 \partial_s p^s \circ \exp t H_{p^s}(\rho) dt + \rho^2 \mathcal{O}\big((\rho, s)^\infty\big) \tag{3.6}$$

We try to achieve this condition at any order in s. At zeroth order, i.e. for $s = 0$, we get a unique solution $\partial_s p^s|_{s=0} = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$, mod I^∞. If we differentiate k times we get

$$\int_0^1 (\partial_s^{k+1} p^s) \circ \exp t H_{p^s}(\rho) dt = \partial_s^k q^s(\rho) + F_k(p^s, \cdots, \partial_s^k p^s, \rho) + \rho^2 \mathcal{O}\big((\rho, s)^\infty\big)$$

and if $p^0, \cdots, \partial_s^k p^s|_{s=0} = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$ have been determined, we get $\partial_s^{k+1} p^s|_{s=0} = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$ from this equation. It is then clear that (3.6) has a solution which is unique modulo $\rho^2 \mathcal{O}\big((\rho, s)^\infty\big)$. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}^s = \exp H_{p^s}$. Then

$$(\Phi^s)^* \partial_s \Phi^s = (\widetilde{\Phi}^s)^* \partial_s \widetilde{\Phi}^s + \rho^2 \mathcal{O}\big((\rho, s)^\infty\big), \widetilde{\Phi}^0 = \Phi^0$$

and it easily follows that (3.5) holds.

Assume now that for $s = 0$ the μ_j's are rationally independent. Using the parameter dependent Birkhoff transformations as in Proposition A.1, we see that for $s \in \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R})$ small enough, there is a smooth family of hamiltonians q^s, and canonical transformations κ^s, $\kappa^s(\rho_0) = \rho_0$, such that $p \circ \kappa^s = q^s + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3 s^\infty)$ and $q^s = q^s(\iota)$ depend on the action variable only. So we have

$$(\kappa^s)^{-1} \circ \exp H_{p^s} \circ \kappa^s = \exp H_{q^s} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3 s^\infty) \tag{3.7}$$

and by (3.5) :

$$(\kappa^s)^{-1} \circ \Phi^s \circ \kappa^s = \exp H_{q^s} + \rho^2 \mathcal{O}\big((\rho, s)^\infty\big) \tag{3.8}$$

There suffices then to apply a parameter dependent version of Theorem 2.2 (which follows easily from a careful inspection of the proof in [BaLLWa],) to see that (3.7) and (3.8) imply the following :

Proposition 3.1: Let Φ^s, $s \in \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R})$, be a smooth family of smooth canonical transformations, $\Phi^s : \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \to \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R}^{2n})$, $\Phi^s(0) = 0$, such that for $s = 0$, $A^0 = d\Phi^0(0)$ is non degenerate, its eigenvalues λ_j, $j = 1 \cdots, n$, lie outside the unit circle, and $\mu_j = \log \lambda_j$ verify (2.1). Assume further that the λ_j's are rationally independent. Then there are a smooth family of smooth canonical maps κ^s, $s \in \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R})$, $\kappa^s(0) = (0)$, $d\kappa^s(0) = \mathrm{Id}$, and a smooth family of smooth functions $q^s(\iota)$ depending on the action variables ι alone, such that

$$(\kappa^s)^{-1} \circ \Phi^s \circ \kappa^s = \exp H_{q^s} + \rho^2 \mathcal{O}(s^\infty)$$

4. The complex case.

We present here a rather rough discussion in the almost holomorphic case, i.e. for hamiltonians whose $\overline{\partial}$ vanishes of infinite order at ρ_0, somewhat in the spirit of [Sj2,3] and [MeSj]. First we recall some properties concerning symplectic structures in $T\mathbf{C}^n$; then we state the center stable/unstable manifold theorem for almost holomorphic hamiltonians ; at last we prove Theorem 0.4, and conclude with some elementary properties on monodromy.

a) Complex symplectic geometries.

The variables in the complex phase-space $T^*\mathbf{C}^n$ will still be denoted by (x, ξ). As in the real case, we start with some geometric preparations.

First we recall some elementary facts about complex vector fields. If

$$v(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} v_j(\rho)\partial_{\rho_j} + v'_j(\rho)\overline{\partial}_{\rho_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \big(a_j(\rho)\partial_{x_j} + b_j(\rho)\partial_{\xi_j} + a'_j(\rho)\overline{\partial}_{x_j} + b'_j(\rho)\overline{\partial}_{\xi_j}\big) \in T(T^*\mathbf{C}^n)$$

is a vector field on $T^*\mathbf{C}^n$, we set $\widehat{v} = 2\,\mathrm{Re}\, v = v + \overline{v}$, or

$$\widehat{v}(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \big(v_j(\rho) + \overline{v'_j(\rho)}\big)\partial_{\rho_j} + \big(\overline{v_j(\rho)} + v'_j(\rho)\big)\ \overline{\partial}_{\rho_j} \tag{4.1}$$

Identifying $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^n$ with $\mathbf{R}^{2n} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, $\widehat{v}$ is simply the vector

$$\begin{aligned}(v_1 + \overline{v'_1}, \cdots, v_{2n} + \overline{v'_{2n}}) &= (a_1 + \overline{a'_1}, \cdots, a_n + \overline{a'_n}, b_1 + \overline{b'_1}, \cdots, b_n + \overline{b'_n}) = \\ &= \big(\mathrm{Re}(a_1 + a'_1), \mathrm{Im}(a_1 - a'_1), \cdots, \mathrm{Re}(b_n + b'_n), \mathrm{Im}(b_n - b'_n)\big)\end{aligned}$$

expressed in the basis $B = \big(\partial_{\operatorname{Re} x_1}, \partial_{\operatorname{Im} x_1}, \cdots, \partial_{\operatorname{Re} \xi_n}, \partial_{\operatorname{Im} \xi_n}\big)$. In general the identification between $\mathbf{C}^n$ (or $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$) and the underlying real vector space will be expressed as $\Theta(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = (\operatorname{Re} a_1, \operatorname{Im} a_1, \cdots, \operatorname{Re} a_n, \operatorname{Im} a_n)$.

Let us denote by I the ideal of C^∞ functions in $\mathbf{C}^n$ (or $T^*\mathbf{C}^n$ as will be clear from the context,) that vanish at ρ_0. We assume throughout that $v'_j \in I$, or even $v'_j \in I^\infty$. In that case, we write $v \in T^{(1,0)}(T^*\mathbf{C}^n) \oplus T^{(0,1)}_\infty(T^*\mathbf{C}^n)$. Then $\widehat{v}$ is the (unique) real vector field which gives the same result as v, at the point ρ_0, when applied to a differentiable function u, provided $\overline{\partial} u \in I$. For real t, the flow of $\widehat{v}$ will be denoted by

$$\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho) = \big(\widehat{x}_t(\rho), \widehat{\xi}_t(\rho)\big) = \exp(t\widehat{v})(\rho)$$

In the case where $v'_j = 0$ (i.e. $v \in T^{(1,0)}(T^*\mathbf{C}^n)$,) this is the solution of the system of ODE's

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widehat{(x_j)}_t(\rho) = a_j\big(\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho)\big), \quad \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{(\xi_j)}_t(\rho) = b_j\big(\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho)\big), \quad \widehat{\Phi}_0(\rho) = \rho$$

So it has the property, that if $v \in T^{(1,0)}(T^*\mathbf{C}^n)$ has holomorphic coefficients, then $\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho)$ is the restriction to the real t-axis of the holomorphic flow

$$\Phi_t(\rho) = \big(x_t(\rho), \xi_t(\rho)\big) = \exp(tv)(\rho) \tag{4.2}$$

We recall also that $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ is endowed with the complex canonical 2-form $\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$, which makes it a symplectic space, and 2 real symplectic 2-forms $\operatorname{Re} \sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$. For convenience, we remove subscript $\mathbf{C}$ from the notations. If p is a smooth complex function on $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$, the hamiltonian vector field of p is defined as

$$H_p = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \overline{\xi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{x}} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial \overline{x}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\xi}}$$

(note we have used a different convention as in [MeSj], [Sj1], where H_p does not contain the antiholomorphic derivatives.) If we define the real hamiltonian vector field $H^{\operatorname{Re}\sigma}$ by $(\operatorname{Re}\sigma)(H_f^{\operatorname{Re}\sigma}, t) = \langle df, t\rangle$, then we have $H^{\operatorname{Re}\sigma}_{\operatorname{Re} p} = \widehat{H}_p$. More precisely, in the basis B :

$$\widehat{H}_p = \big(\operatorname{Re}\frac{\partial p}{\partial \operatorname{Re}\xi}, -\operatorname{Re}\frac{\partial p}{\partial \operatorname{Im}\xi}, -\operatorname{Re}\frac{\partial p}{\partial \operatorname{Re} x}, \operatorname{Re}\frac{\partial p}{\partial \operatorname{Im} x}\big) \tag{4.3}$$

We denote by $\frac{\partial \widehat{H}_p}{\partial \rho}$ the Jacobian (in the real sense) expressed in this basis.

The Poisson bracket associated with $\operatorname{Re}\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$ is denoted by $\{\bullet, \bullet\}_R$ and coincides with $\{\operatorname{Re}\bullet, \operatorname{Re}\bullet\}$ for the real symplectic structure on $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ read through Θ.

Let p be a smooth function such that $\overline{\partial} p \in I^\infty$. For real t, the hamiltonian flow of $\widehat{H}_p$ will be denoted as above by

$$\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho) = \big(\Phi_{t,x}(\rho), \Phi_{t,\xi}(\rho)\big) = \exp(t\widehat{H}_p)(\rho) \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\widetilde{X}_\rho = \Theta\big(\overline{\partial}_x \Phi_{t,x}, \overline{\partial}_x \Phi_{t,\xi}\big)$, and $\widetilde{Y}_\rho = \Theta\big(\overline{\partial}_\xi \Phi_{t,x}, \overline{\partial}_\xi \Phi_{t,\xi}\big)$ considered as vector fields on $T^*(\mathbf{C}^n)$. In the same way, we write $X_\rho = \Theta\big(\partial_x \Phi_{t,x}, \partial_x \Phi_{t,\xi}\big)$, and $Y_\rho = \Theta\big(\partial_\xi \Phi_{t,x}, \partial_\xi \Phi_{t,\xi}\big)$, where ∂ denotes the holomorphic derivative. We state first some technical Lemma, which follows from a staightforward computation, and the fact that p verifies approximately the Cauchy-Riemann equations :

Lemma 3.1: With p as above

$$\partial_t \widetilde{X}_\rho = \frac{\partial \widehat{H}_p}{\partial \rho}(\widehat{\Phi_t})\widetilde{X}_\rho + \mathcal{O}\big(\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho)^\infty\big)\big(\widetilde{X}_\rho, X_\rho\big) \tag{4.5}$$

$$\partial_t \widetilde{Y}_\rho = \frac{\partial \widehat{H}_p}{\partial \rho}(\widehat{\Phi}_t)\widetilde{Y}_\rho + \mathcal{O}\big(\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho)^\infty\big)\big(\widetilde{Y}_\rho, Y_\rho\big) \tag{4.6}$$

b) The stable-unstable-center manifold theorem in the complex domain.

Our first step is to extend the stable/unstable manifold theorem in the case of almost holomorphic hamiltonians. To be complete we will actually prove a little bit more than required. We will follow closely the nice geometric argument of [Sj2] in the analytic category, implemented for higher derivatives by idea we borrowed also from [HeSj1].

So let p such that $\overline{\partial} p \in I^\infty$, have a non degenerate critical point at ρ_0, $p(\rho_0) = 0$. Let $F_{\rho_0}(p)$ as in (1.1) denote the fundamental matrix (in the holomorphic sense,) and assume as before that $F_{\rho_0}(p)$ has $2n$ distinct, no purely imaginary eigenvalues. Let again $\Lambda_\pm \subset T_{\rho_0}\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ be the sum of all eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues with positive (resp. negative) real parts. We have :

Theorem 4.2 : With the notations above, in a neighborhood of ρ_0, there are $\widehat{H}_p$-invariant, R-lagrangian manifolds $\mathcal{J}_\pm$ (i.e. lagrangian for $\operatorname{Re}\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$,) passing through ρ_0, such that $T_{\rho_0}(\mathcal{J}_\pm) = \Lambda_\pm$. Within $\mathcal{J}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_-$), ρ_0 is repulsive (resp. attractive) for $\widehat{H}_p$, and $\operatorname{Re} p|_{\mathcal{J}_\pm} = 0$. We can also find $\operatorname{Re}\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$-symplectic coordinates, denoted again by $(x,\xi) = \kappa(y,\eta)$, $\overline{\partial}\kappa \in I^\infty$, such that their differential at ρ_0 verifies $d\kappa(\rho_0) = \mathrm{Id}$, $\kappa^*(\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}) = \sigma_{\mathbf{C}} \mod I^\infty$ and $\mathcal{J}_+ = \{\xi = 0\}$, $\mathcal{J}_- = \{x = 0\}$. In these coordinates

$$\operatorname{Re} p(x,\xi) = \operatorname{Re}\langle A(x,\xi)x, \xi\rangle \tag{4.8}$$

where $A(x,\xi)$ is smooth, has constant term equal to A_0, and $\overline{\partial}A(x,\xi)\in I^\infty$. Moreover,

$$p(x,\xi)=\langle A(x,\xi)x,\xi\rangle \bmod\ I^\infty \tag{4.9}$$

(For simplicity, we have written $\langle A(x,\xi)x,\xi\rangle$ instead of $\langle A'(x,\xi)(\operatorname{Re}x,\operatorname{Im}x),(\operatorname{Re}\xi,\operatorname{Im}\xi)\rangle$ where $A'(x,\xi)$ is a $2n\times 2n$ matrix ; actually the notation $p(x,\xi)=\langle A(x,\xi)x,\xi\rangle$ makes sense at the level of formal Taylor expansion at ρ_0.)

Outline of the Proof: We proceed in several steps ; in the topological step we start to define, as in Sect.1.a, the outgoing/incoming regions relative to $\widehat{H}_p$, and study the flow of lagrangian manifolds, as $t\to\pm\infty$. This yields, via a compactness argument, to C^0 coordinates where the outgoing (resp. incoming) submanifold $\mathcal{J}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_-$) is given by $\xi=0$ (resp. $x=0$) ; then, we turn to differentiability and prove the $\mathcal{J}_+$ are C^1. Then we turn to higher derivatives and properties of almost analyticity.

We first choose coordinates where F_{ρ_0} has block-diagonal form. Taking complex linear coordinates as in (1.3), we can make it diagonal. Then the hamiltonian vector field takes the form

$$H_p=A_0x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-A_0\xi\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}+\mathcal{O}\big(\|x,\xi\|^2\big)\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\big)\bmod\ T_\infty^{(0,1)}(T^*\mathbf{C}^n) \tag{4.10}$$

where we recall $A_0=\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n)$. For real t, let $\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho)$ be the hamiltonian flow of $\widehat{H}_p$ as in (4.4). As in Sect.1.a we can construct an hermitian norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ such that identity (1.7) holds if $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ stand now for the hermitian norms. For $\delta>0$, we define the outgoing region as

$$\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}=\{(x,\xi):\|\xi\|_0<2\|x\|_0,\ \|x\|_0^2+\|\xi\|_0^2<\delta^2\}$$

and let $\partial\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}$ denote its boundary. Estimates (4.10) again show that there exists $C>0$ such that :

$$\|x\|_0/(2C)\leq\widehat{H}_p\|x\|_0\leq\|x\|_0/C,\quad \rho\in\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}} \tag{4.12}$$

while

$$-\widehat{H}_p\|\xi\|_0\geq\|\xi\|_0/C \text{ on } \partial\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}\cap\{(x,\xi):\|\xi\|_0=2\|x\|_0\} \tag{4.13}$$

Let $t\mapsto\widehat{\Phi}_t\big(x(0),\xi(0)\big)$ be an integral curve of $\widehat{H}_p$ with $\rho=\big(x(0),\xi(0)\big)\in\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}$. Along $\widehat{\Phi}_t$, we have $\partial_t=\widehat{H}_p$, so using (4.12), Gronwall Lemma, after suitably truncating p outside a neighborhood of ρ_0, shows that

$$e^{t/(2C)}\|x(0)\|_0\leq\|x(t)\|_0\leq e^{t/C}\|x(0)\|_0,\ \rho\in\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}},t\geq 0 \tag{4.14}$$

which allows to define the hitting times $T_{\pm}^{\text{out}}$ as in (1.13) and (1.14) (although we have not yet found the outgoing manifold.)

It follows from (4.14) and (4.13) that $\Omega_\delta^{\text{out}}$ is stable under $\widehat{\Phi}_t$, i.e. if $\rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\text{out}}$, then $\exp(t\widehat{H}_p)(\rho) \in \Omega_\delta^{\text{out}}$, for $0 \leq t < T_{\pm}^{\text{out}}(\rho)$, while it never gets back in afterwards.

Similarly, we define the incoming region as in (1.15), and the corresponding hitting times as in (1.16), (1.17).

Now we try to find the outgoing/incoming manifolds for $\widehat{H}_p$, and study the evolution of the complex manifold $\Lambda_t = \{\exp(t\widehat{H}_p)(\rho) : \rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\text{out}}\}$, as $t \to +\infty$. It is convenient to introduce

$$\Lambda^{\text{out}} = \{\big(t, \tau; \exp(t\widehat{H}_p)(\rho)\big), \rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\text{out}}, 0 \leq t < T_+^{\text{out}}(\rho), \tau = \operatorname{Re} p\big(\exp(t\widehat{H}_p)(\rho)\big)\} \tag{4.15}$$

By what we have just said, Λ^{out} is a connected submanifold of codimension 1 in the symplectic space $T^*\mathbf{R}^{2n+1}$ endowed with the 2-form $d\tau \wedge dt + \operatorname{Re}\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$. The vector field $\partial_t + \widehat{H}_p$ is tangent to Λ^{out}, and τ is independent of t. The evolution of a tangent vector $\widehat{X}_\rho(t) = \big(\widehat{X}_x(t), \widehat{X}_\xi(t)\big) \in T(T^*\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ (the ρ-projection of the tangent space to Λ^{out},) is given by the $4n \times 4n$ system

$$\partial_t \widehat{X}_\rho(t) = \frac{\partial \widehat{H}_p}{\partial \rho}\big(\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho)\big)\widehat{X}_\rho(t) \tag{4.16}$$

where ∂_ρ denotes the gradient in the real sense.

It is easy to see that the leading term in the $4n \times 4n$ matrix $\frac{\partial \widehat{H}_p}{\partial \rho}$ in the basis B has a hyperbolic structure, each eigenvalue λ_j occuring twice, as well as $-\lambda_j$, $\pm\overline{\lambda}_j$, so that the linear flow is expansive in the $(\operatorname{Re} x, \operatorname{Im} x)$- directions, and contractive in the $(\operatorname{Re}\xi, \operatorname{Im}\xi)$-directions.

So (4.16) shows that if $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ are sufficiently small, then the outgoing region

$$\|\widehat{X}_\xi(t)\| \leq \varepsilon_0 \, \|\widehat{X}_x(t)\| \tag{4.17}$$

is stable along $\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho)$, $\rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\text{out}}$, as t increases, $t < T_+^{\text{out}}(\rho)$.

Now let $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_+ = \{\rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\text{out}}; \xi = 0\}$, $\mathcal{J}_+(t) = \widehat{\Phi}_t(\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_+) \cap \Omega_\delta^{\text{out}}$, and Λ_+ be its lift in Λ^{out}. This is a submanifold of $T^*\mathbf{R}^{2n+1}$, lagrangian for $d\tau \wedge dt + \operatorname{Re}\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$, and its tangent space contains $\partial_t + \widehat{H}_p$. Applying the theorem of constant rank to the projection $\pi : \Lambda_+ \to \mathbf{C}_x^n$, (4.17) shows that Λ_+ (or $\mathcal{J}_+(t)$, forgetting about τ which is independent of t, and that we may take equal to 0, since $p(\rho_0) = 0$,) is of the form $\xi = g_+(t, x)$ where $g_+ \in C^\infty$ (see for instance [M] for a simple proof.) Moreover, $g_+(0, x) = 0$. Since $\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho) \in \Omega_\delta^{\text{out}}$, we have $\|g_+(t, x)\|_0 \leq 2\|x\|_0$

for all $t \geq 0$. By compactness, there is a sequence $t_j \to +\infty$, such that $g_+(t_j, \cdot) \to g_+$ in $C^0\big(\{\|x\| < \mathrm{Const.}\delta\}\big)$. We put

$$\mathscr{J}_+ = \{(x, g_+(x)) : x \in \mathrm{neigh}(0)\} \tag{4.19}$$

(the outgoing tail, or outgoing manifold) and proceed to show that $g_+ \in C^1$.

Consider the evolution of a normal vector

$$\widehat{Z}_\rho(t) = \big(\widehat{Z}_x(t), \widehat{Z}_\xi(t)\big) \in N(\mathscr{J}_+(t)) = \big(T(T^*\mathbf{R}^{2n})|_{\mathscr{J}_+(t)}\big)/T(\mathscr{J}_+(t)) \tag{4.21}$$

(the ρ-projection of the normal space to Λ_+.) It is given by : $\partial_t \widehat{Z}_\rho(t) = M(\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho))\widehat{Z}_\rho(t)$ where the leading part of $M(x,\xi)$ is obtained from this of $\frac{\partial \widehat{H}_p}{\partial \rho}$ by permuting the eigenvalues with positive and negative real parts. So in Λ_+, the region given by

$$\|\widehat{Z}_\xi(t)\| \geq \|\widehat{Z}_x(t)\| / \varepsilon_0 \tag{4.22}$$

is stable under $\widehat{\Phi}_t$.

Let now ρ_t be another integral curve of $\widehat{H}_p$, starting at $\rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}$, and not in $\mathscr{J}_+(t)$ (ρ_t lies in Λ^{out}, but we choose the initial condition away from $\widetilde{\mathscr{J}}_+$.) Let Γ_t be the orthogonal projection of ρ_t on $\mathscr{J}_+(t)$, $\dot{\Gamma}_t \in N(\mathscr{J}_+(t))$ the normal vector. By (4.22), we see that if γ_t denotes the lenght of the segment $[\rho_t, \Gamma_t]$, then $\frac{d}{dt}\gamma_t \leq -C\gamma_t$, $C > 0$; so the integral curves of $\widehat{H}_p$ approach $\mathscr{J}_+$ exponentially fast as t increases, and the estimate $\|g_+(t,x) - g_+(s,x)\| = \mathcal{O}(e^{-s/C})$, all $t \geq s \geq 0$, shows that $g_+(t,x)$ is Cauchy, and $T(\mathscr{J}_+(t))$ has a limit as $t \to +\infty$ (not only for a subsequence t_j.) This limit is the tangent space to $\mathscr{J}_+ = \{\xi = g_+(x) : x \in \mathrm{neigh}(0)\}$, and it follows that $\mathscr{J}_+(t)$ tends exponentially fast to $\mathscr{J}_+$ in the C^1 topology. It is easy to see that $\mathscr{J}_+$ is invariant under $\widehat{\Phi}_t$, all t, and characterized as the set of $\rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}$ such that $\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho) \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}$, all $t \leq 0$. We have $\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho) \to \rho_0 = 0$ as $t \to -\infty$, $\rho \in \mathscr{J}_+$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Re}\, p = \tau = 0$ on $\mathscr{J}_+$.

We are left to show that $\mathscr{J}_+$ is a lagrangian submanifold for $(T^*\mathbf{C}^n, \mathrm{Re}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}})$. If $u_1,\ u_2$ are complex C^1 functions vanishing on $\mathscr{J}_+$, and $\rho \in \mathscr{J}_+$, then $\{u_1, u_2\}_R(\rho) = \{u_1 \circ \Phi_t, u_2 \circ \Phi_t\}_R(\Phi_{-t}(\rho))$. Since integral curves of $\exp t\widehat{H}_p$ approach $\mathscr{J}_+$ exponentially fast, we see that $du_j \circ \Phi_t\big(\Phi_{-t}(\rho)\big)$ tends to 0 as $t \to +\infty$, hence $\{u_1, u_2\}_R = 0$, and we have proved that $\mathscr{J}_+$ is involutive. Because $T_{\rho_t}\mathscr{J}_+(t)$ is transversal to $\widetilde{\mathscr{J}}_- = \{\rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}, x = 0\}$ (and their intersection is 0) we have also proved, letting $t \to +\infty$, that $\mathscr{J}_+$ is lagrangian for $\mathrm{Re}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$. Furthermore, $T_{\rho_0}(\mathscr{J}_+) = \Lambda_+$. Similarly, we introduce

$$\Lambda^{\mathrm{in}} = \big\{\big(t, \tau; \exp(-t\widehat{H}_p)(\rho)\big), \rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{in}}, 0 \leq t < T_-^{\mathrm{in}}(\rho), \tau = \mathrm{Re}\, p\big(\exp(t\widehat{H}_p)(\rho)\big)\big\} \tag{4.24}$$

Taking the flow of $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_-$ through $\widehat{\Phi}(t)$ for negative t, we set $\mathcal{J}_-(t) = \widehat{\Phi}_t(\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_-) \cap \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{in}}$, and look for the evolution of a tangent vector to $\mathcal{J}_-(t)$ along an integral curve ρ_t of $\widehat{H}_p$, starting at $\rho \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{in}}$, and not in $\mathcal{J}_-(t)$. Letting $t \to -\infty$, we can see that $\mathcal{J}_-(t)$ tends exponentially fast to $\mathcal{J}_- = \{(g_-(\xi), \xi) : \xi \in \mathrm{neigh}(0)\}$, for some C^1 function $g_-(\xi)$. Then $\mathcal{J}_-$ is again lagrangian with respect to $\mathrm{Re}\, \sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$, and we call it the incoming tail, or incoming manifold. Again we have $\mathrm{Re}\, p = \tau = 0$ on $\mathcal{J}_-$.

It is clear that the invariant manifolds $\mathcal{J}_\pm$ are characterized as the set of $\rho \in \Omega_\delta$ such that $\widehat{\Phi}_{\mp t}(\rho) \in \Omega_\delta$, for all $\pm t \geq 0$.

The higher derivatives cannot apparently be handled with the same method, but by the uniqueness property of the outgoing/incoming manifolds, we can conclude as in [AbRo,App.C] with a fixed point argument, the limits being necessarily $\mathcal{J}_\pm$. An alternative way is to follow the proof of [HeSj1,Prop.2.3]. Namely, it follows easily from the previous arguments that $\mathcal{J}_+(t)$ (say) can be parametrized by a phase function $\varphi_t(x, \eta)$, such that the graph of $\exp(t\widehat{H}_p)$, $t \geq 0$, is given by

$$C_t = \{\big(\partial_\eta \varphi_t, \eta, x, \partial_x \varphi_t\big),\ (x, \eta) \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}}\}$$

Furthermore, φ_t verifies the eikonal equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} + \mathrm{Re}\, p\big(x, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial x}\big) = 0,\ \varphi|_{t=0} = \langle x, \eta \rangle$$

By the previous estimates, we know then that φ_t tends exponentially fast as $t \to +\infty$, to some $\varphi_+(x, \eta)$ in $C^2(\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}})$. Then $\varphi_+(x, \eta)$ verifies again the corresponding stationary eikonal equation, and parametrizes $\mathcal{J}_+$. Using the transport equations verified by $\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial x}$, we can show as in [HeSj1] that this convergence holds actually in $C^\infty(\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{out}})$. We proceed similarly in $\Omega_\delta^{\mathrm{in}}$.

Once we have found the smooth, involutive invariant manifolds $\mathcal{J}_\pm$, we choose adapted coordinates of the form $(x', \xi') = \big(x - g_-(\xi), \xi - g_+(x)\big)$. By construction, these are smooth symplectic coordinates for $\mathrm{Re}\, \sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$, where the outgoing (resp. incoming) manifold takes the form $\xi' = 0$ (resp. $x' = 0$.) From now on, we work in these coordinates, which we denote again by (x, ξ), deleting the prime. The same argument as in Sect.1 then shows that (1.11) and (1.12) hold for $\rho \in \Omega_\delta$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, where $(x(t), \xi(t))$ stands for $\widehat{\Phi}_t(\rho)$, and $\| \cdot \|_0$ for the hermitean norm.

We pass now to the almost analyticity property. Using coordinates adapted to $\mathcal{J}_\pm$, this can be done again by combining Lemma 4.1 with the method above, showing that the generating functions verify $\overline{\partial} \varphi_\pm \in I^\infty$. (Alternatively, this can be done by the fixed point argument of [AbRo,App.C].) The Theorem easily follows, since also (4.9) can be recovered from (4.8), using that p verifies the Cauchy-Riemann equations modulo I^∞. ♣

c) Proof of Theorem 0.4.

We proceed exactly as in the real case. Let again $\chi^{\mathrm{out}}+\chi^{\mathrm{in}}=1$ be a smooth partition of unity in $T^*\mathbf{R}^{2n}\setminus\rho_0$ with supp $\chi^{\mathrm{out}}\subset\{\|\xi\|_0<2\|x\|_0\}$, supp $\chi^{\mathrm{in}}\subset\{\|x\|_0<2\|\xi\|_0\}$. We start with the :

Proposition 4.3: Let p be as above, and $g\in I^\infty$. Let

$$f^{\mathrm{out}}(\rho)=\int_{-\infty}^0(\chi^{\mathrm{out}}g)\circ\exp(t\widehat{H}_p)(\rho)dt,\ f^{\mathrm{in}}(\rho)=-\int_0^\infty\bigl(\chi^{\mathrm{in}}g\bigr)\circ\exp(t\widehat{H}_p)(\rho)dt$$

Then $f=f^{\mathrm{out}}+f^{\mathrm{in}}\in I^\infty$ solves $\widehat{H}_pf=g$.

(Note that we may avoid using the C^∞ coordinates where $\mathcal{J}_\pm$ are given by $\xi=0$ and $x=0$, as we did in Proposition 1.2, since the proof is essentially independent of the choice of coordinates. All what really matters is the existence of the differentiable manifolds $\mathcal{J}_\pm$.)

Using again Birkhoff series (in $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$), we know that there is a smooth canonical transform for the complex symplectic structure $(T^*\mathbf{C}^n,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}})$, $\kappa(\rho_0)=\rho_0$, and such that

$$p\circ\kappa(x,\xi)=q_0(\iota)+r(x,\xi) \tag{4.27}$$

where $\iota=(\iota_1,\cdots,\iota_n)$ are the action variables as in (0.3), and $r\in I^\infty$. The hamiltonian $q_0(\iota)$ satisfies the same hypotheses as p, and is constructed from the formal Taylor series by a Borel sum of the type $q_0(\iota)=\sum_{k=1}^\infty\widetilde{q}_k(\iota)\chi\bigl(\frac{\iota}{\varepsilon_k}\bigr)$, $\chi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{C}^n)$ equal to 1 near 0, of the form $\chi(z_1,\cdots,z_n)=\chi_0(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\chi_0(z_n)$, χ_0 rotation invariant. Of course, $\overline{\partial}_\iota q_0(\iota)=\mathcal{O}(\iota^\infty)$. Using again Borel sums, the canonical transformation is of the form $\kappa=\exp H_{\widetilde{f}}$ for some smooth $\widetilde{f}$, $\overline{\partial}_\rho\kappa=\mathcal{O}(\rho^\infty)$. Now we take real part of (4.27) :

$$\mathrm{Re}\,p\circ\kappa(x,\xi)=q_0'(\iota')+r'(x,\xi) \tag{4.28}$$

where ι' stand for the real and imaginary part of ι (it is easy to see that these $2n$ new action variables Poisson commute for $\{\bullet,\bullet\}_R$.) Following the proof of Theorem 0.1, we consider the family $q_s'=q_0'+sr'$, $0\leq s\leq 1$.

As above we look for a family of smooth κ_s preserving $\mathrm{Re}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$, satisfying the identity $q_s'\circ\kappa_s=q_0'$, and

$$\partial_s\kappa_s=X_s\circ\kappa_s \tag{4.29}$$

We look for X_s of the form $X_s = \widehat{H}_{f_s}$, for some family of real valued functions $f_s \in I^\infty$. Since q'_s is real, we get

$$\langle \widehat{H}_{f_s}, q'_s \rangle = -\langle \widehat{H}_{q'_s}, f_s \rangle = -r' \tag{4.30}$$

and again we are led to solve the homological equation $\langle \widehat{H}_{q'_s}, f_s \rangle = r'$, for which Proposition 4.3 gives $f_s \in I^\infty$. Then (4.29) has a solution of the form $\kappa_s = I + \kappa'_s$, $\kappa'_s \in I^\infty$, uniformly for s on compact sets. Furthermore, by construction, κ_s preserves $\mathrm{Re}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$, and $(\kappa_s)^*\sigma_{\mathbf{C}} = \sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$ mod I^∞. Theorem 0.4 easily follows. ♣

d) Remark : Monodromy along IR-manifolds.

Let p analytic be analytic and have a non degenerate critical point at $\rho = 0$, such that F_{ρ_0} has no purely imaginary, and rationally independent eigenvalues as above. Assume p is real on the real domain. We can apply Theorem 0.1 to $T^*\mathbf{R}^n$ so p is integrable in the C^∞ sense on the real domain, for some real canonical transform $\kappa = \kappa_0$ that takes p into its Birkhoff normal form (we are not interested to know whether κ_0 is related to this of Theorem 0.4, since κ is only uniquely determined on the formal level.) We set $\Lambda_0 = T^*\mathbf{R}^n$ and try to move Λ_0 around ρ_0 in the complex domain, so we consider the family of IR-manifolds $\Lambda_s = \exp(isH_p)(\Lambda_0)$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$ (recall that a submanifold of $T^*\mathbf{C}^n$ is called IR if it is Lagrangian for $\mathrm{Im}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$ and symplectic for $\mathrm{Re}\,\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$.) Then again p is clearly integrable on Λ_s, in the C^∞ sense, i.e. for real times, and the problem arises on how far we can go. The 1-d case has been settled in [HeSj2,App.b], where the authors recover the wellknown fact that p is integrable in the holomorphic sense ; here κ is univalued, so making a reflection on ρ_0 gives $\Lambda_\pi = \Lambda_{2\pi} = \Lambda_0$. This is actually the way that the "exact Birkhoff normal form" was obtained. In several variables we cannnot expect integrability, nor even recovering $\Lambda_s = \Lambda_0$ for some s, since the orbits may never close (see [Ro2] for a more complete study of monodromy.)

Appendix. The Birkhoff transformations.

We recall here from [KaRo] some formal constructions, using Lie brackets, borrowed essentially from [AbMa,p.500]. There are of course many alternative proofs, the idea here is just to write formal power series in the most convenient way. Since the procedure is mere algebra, it works equally in the holomorphic, real analytic or C^∞ category (but of course analyticity will be lost during the game, since small denominators make Birkhoff series divergent, at least in the common sense.) In particular eigenvalues can be real or complex. When eigenvalues are complex, and the hamiltonian real and C^∞, we can recover real asymptotics just by using an appropriate linear symplectic transformation of coordinates. As in [IaSj], we discuss the parameter dependent case. In what follows, $s \in \mathrm{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R}^k)$.

Let $p = p(s)$ depend smoothly on s, and have a non degenerate critical point of hyperbolic type at ρ_s. If $p(s)$ is complex valued, we assume also that $\overline{\partial}_{(z,\zeta)}p$ vanishes of infinite order at ρ_s, so that $p(s)$ has formal Taylor series in (z, ζ) at ρ_s. After a linear symplectic change of coordinates, depending smoothly on s, we may assume that $\rho_s = \rho_0 = 0$, and $p(s)$ has quadratic part $p_2(z, \zeta, s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j(s) z_j(s) \zeta_j(s)$. We assume also that $p(0)$ has rationally independent (or non resonant) frequencies $(\lambda_1(0), \cdots, \lambda_n(0)) = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$. Using that the symplectic group is connected, we may further perform a symplectic, linear change of coordinates, C^∞ in s, such that $z_j(s), \zeta_j(s)$ become independent of s, and $p_2(z, \zeta, s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j(s) z_j \zeta_j$. Of course, the $\lambda_j(s)$'s do not in general verify the non resonance condition for $s \neq 0$, but we shall investigate up to which accuracy Birkhoff series hold in that case.

After reduction of the quadratic part as above, $p(s)$ now takes the form

$$p(z, \zeta, s) = p_2(z, \zeta, s) + \mathcal{O}(|z, \zeta|^3) \tag{A.1}$$

We want to construct a map $f = f(s)$ between neighborhhods $\mathcal{V}(0)$ of $\rho_0 = 0 \in T^*\mathbf{R}^n$, such that $(\exp H_{f(s)})^* H_{p(s)}$ is resonant. Indeed we have :

Proposition A.1: Let $p(s) = p(z, \zeta, s)$ as above, and $\rho = (z, \zeta)$. Then there exists a smooth canonical transforms $\kappa(s) : \mathcal{V}(0) \to \mathcal{V}(0)$ in $T^*\mathbf{R}^n$, and a smooth function $q(s) = q(\iota, s)$, ι as in (0.3), such that $\kappa(\rho_0, s) = \rho_0 = 0$, $d\kappa(\rho_0, s) = \mathrm{Id}$ and

$$p(s) \circ \kappa(s) = q(s) + \rho^3 \mathcal{O}\big((\rho, s)^\infty\big)$$

Proof: For simplicity, we assume $k = 1$, but the general case is similar. We introduce a small ordering parameter ε and rescale coordinates (y, η), as $(\varepsilon\, y, \varepsilon\, \eta) = (z, \zeta)$ so that $p(z, \zeta, s) = \varepsilon^2\, p_2(y, \eta, s) + \varepsilon^3\, p_3(y, \eta, s) + \cdots$ where p_j is homogeneous of degree j. Working first at the level of formal Taylor series, we want to solve (formally), denoting $p = p(s)$, $f = f(s)$:

$$(\exp tH_f)^* H_p = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{t^j}{j!} [H_f, [H_f, \cdots, [H_f, H_p] \cdots]] = H_r \tag{A.2}$$

where $r = r(s)$ is resonant, and $t = \varepsilon^2$. (Here resonant means of course $H_{p_2} r(s) \sim 0$ (in the sense of Taylor series at ρ_0,) where p_2 as in (A.1), or equivalently $r(s) \sim r(y_1\eta_1, \cdots, y_n\eta_n, s)$.)

We look also for $f(y,\eta,s)=\varepsilon\, f_1(y,\eta,s)+\varepsilon^2\, f_2(y,\eta,s)+\cdots$ with f_j homogeneous of degree $j+2$. We proceed by induction. Collecting the ε^3-terms in (A.2), we want to find f_1 such that $H_{p_3}-H_{\{p_2,f_1\}}$ is resonant, i.e. $p_3-\{p_2,f_1\}$ is resonant. Writing $p_3(y,\eta,s)=\sum\limits_{|\alpha+\beta|=3} p_{\alpha\beta}(s)y^\alpha\eta^\beta$, $f_1(y,\eta,s)=\sum\limits_{|\alpha+\beta|=3} a_{\alpha\beta}(s)y^\alpha\eta^\beta$ we try to achieve this condition at any order in s. At zeroth order, i.e. for $s=0$, we take $a_{\alpha\beta}(0)=-\frac{p_{\alpha\beta}(0)}{\langle\lambda,\alpha-\beta\rangle}$ for $\alpha\neq\beta$ and $a_{\alpha\beta}(0)=0$ otherwise. At first order in s, the condition that $\partial_s\big(p_3-\{p_2,f_1\}\big)|_{s=0}$ is resonant gives

$$\partial_s a_{\alpha\beta}(0)=\frac{\partial_s p_{\alpha\beta}(0)-\langle\partial_s\lambda(0),\alpha-\beta\rangle a_{\alpha\beta}(0)}{\langle\lambda,\alpha-\beta\rangle}$$

when $\alpha\neq\beta$ and say, $\partial_s a_{\alpha\beta}(0)=0$ otherwise. This process extends by induction to any order in s (note that when s is vector valued, we need to check symmetry for higher derivatives.)

So far we have constructed the formal Taylor series for $a_{\alpha\beta}(s)$ at $s=0$, and found $f_1(s)$ with an uncertainty $\rho^3\mathcal{O}(s^\infty)$ (in the original variables). Next we collect the ε^4- terms, which gives :

$$p_4-H_{p_2}f_2-H_{p_3}f_1+\frac{1}{2}\{f_1,\{f_1,p_2\}\}=_{\mathrm{def}}-H_{p_2}f_2+q_4$$

We want to find $f_2=f_2(s)$ such that $-H_{p_2}f_2+q_4$ is resonant. Writing $q_4(y,\eta,s)=\sum\limits_{|\alpha+\beta|=4} q_{\alpha\beta}(s)y^\alpha\eta^\beta$, $f_2(y,\eta,s)=\sum\limits_{|\alpha+\beta|=4} a_{\alpha\beta}(s)y^\alpha\eta^\beta$ we look again for the Taylor series $a_{\alpha\beta}(s)=a_{\alpha\beta}(0)+\partial_s a_{\alpha\beta}(0)s+\frac{1}{2}\partial_s^2 a_{\alpha\beta}(0)s^2+\cdots$. At zeroth order we may take $a_{\alpha\beta}(0)=0$ for $\alpha=\beta$, and $a_{\alpha\beta}(0)=\frac{q_{\alpha\beta}(0)}{\langle\lambda,\alpha-\beta\rangle}$ otherwise, then carry on the procedure as above at any order in s. This gives $H_{p_2}f_2=r_4$, where $r_4(s)=\sum\limits_{|\alpha|=2} q_{\alpha\alpha}(s)y^\alpha\eta^\alpha$ is the resonant part of q_4.

Assume by induction that we have already constructed $f_1,\cdots,f_{N-1}$ homogeneous of degree $3,\cdots,N+1$, so that $f^{(N-1)}=\sum\limits_{j=1}^{N-1}\varepsilon^j\, f_j$ verifies (A.2) at order ε^{N+1} in ρ, and infinite order in s. Then we try $f^{(N)}=f^{(N-1)}+\varepsilon^N\, f_N$ to fulfill (A.2) up to order ε^{N+2}, i.e. find $f_N=f_N(s)$ such that

$$H_p+[H_f,H_p]+\cdots+\frac{t^{N-1}}{(N-1)!}[H_f,[H_f,\cdots,[H_f,H_p]\cdots]]+\frac{t^N}{N!}[H_f,[H_f,\cdots,[H_f,H_p]\cdots]]$$

is resonant. Each of the terms of that sum are expanded to order ε^{N+2}. The last one is a N-fold bracket and contains only $[H_{f_1},[H_{f_1},\cdots,[H_{f_1},H_{p_2}]\cdots]]$ to this order ; other terms are j-fold brackets containing $f_1,\cdots,f_{N-1}$, and f_N occurs only in $[H_{f_N},H_{p_2}]$. Writing $f_N(y,\eta,s)=\sum\limits_{|\alpha+\beta|=N+2} a_{\alpha\beta}(s)y^\alpha\eta^\beta$, we can find $a_{\alpha\beta}(s)$ as before so that $-H_{p_2}f_N+q_{N+2}=$

r_{N+2} where $q_{N+2} = q_{N+2}(s)$ and $r_{N+2} = r_{N+2}(s)$ are of degree $N+2$ (or $r_{N+2}(s) = 0$, according to the parity of N), and $r_{N+2}(s)$ is resonant.

Summing up, we have found $f_j,\ r_j$, $\deg(f_j) = j+2$, $\deg(r_j) = j$ such that

$$\left(\exp tH_{(\varepsilon\, f_1+\varepsilon^2\, f_2+\cdots)}\right)^* H_{(\varepsilon^2\, p_2+\varepsilon^3\, p_3+\varepsilon^4\, p_4+\cdots)} = H_{\varepsilon^4\, r_4+\cdots}$$

so (1.15) is verified at the level of formal power series. In the original variables $(z,\zeta) = \varepsilon(y,\eta)$, so by homogeneity : $(\exp H_{f(s)})^* H_{p(s)} = H_{r(s)}$.

All this computation can be implemented at the level of C^∞ germs of functions at $\rho = (0,0), s = 0$ if we apply Borel's theorem to the $f_j(s)$ and $r_j(s)$. Hence the relation $(\exp H_{f(s)})^* H_{p(s)} = H_{r(s)}$ holds at the level of C^∞ germs, with $r(s)$ resonant, i.e. asymptotic to a C^∞ function of $(z_1\zeta_1, \cdots, z_n\zeta_n)$. Since $(\exp H_f)^* H_p = H_{p\circ\exp H_f}$ [AbMa,p.194], we get $H_{p\circ\exp H_f} = H_r$, and so $p \circ \exp H_f = r$ is resonant. So we proved the Proposition with $\kappa(s) = \exp H_{\widetilde{f}(s)}$, where $\widetilde{f}(s)$ is a Borel sum for $f(z,\zeta,s)$. ♣

References

[AbM] R. Abraham, J. Marsden. The Foundations of Mechanics. Benjamin, N.Y. Revised edition, 1985.

[AbRo] R. Abraham, J. Robbin (with an Appendix of A. Kelley). Transversal mappings and flows. Cummings, 1967.

[Ar] V. Arnold. Méthodes Mathématiques de la Mécanique classique. Mir, Moscou, 1976.

[ArNo] V. Arnold, S. Novikov, *eds.* Dynamical Systems III-IV. Encyclopoedia of Math. Springer, 1988-1990.

[ArVaGo] V. Arnold, A. Varchenko, S. Goussein-Zadé. Singularités des applications différentiables I. Mir, Moscou, 1986.

[Au] M. Audin. Les systèmes hamiltoniens et leur intégrabilité. Soc. Math. de France, Cours spécialisés **8**, 2001.

[BaLLWa] A. Banyaga, R. de La Llave, C. Wayne. Cohomological Equations near hyperbolic points and geometric versions of Sternberg linearization theorem. J. of Geom. Anal. 6, p.613-649, 1996.

[Bi] G. D. Birkhoff. Dynamical Systems. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. 1927, revised ed. 1966.

[Br] F. Bruhat. Travaux de Sternberg. Séminaire Bourbaki, no 217, 1960-1

[Ch] K.T. Chen. Equivalence and decomposition of vector fields... American J. of Math. 85, p.693-722, 1963. Reprinted in: Collected Papers, Birkhäuser, 2001.

[CuB] R.Cushman, L.Bates. Global aspects of classical integrable systems. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.

[Ec] J. Ecalle. Introduction aux fonctions analysables et conjecture de Dulac. Hermann, Paris, 1992.

[E] L. H. Eliasson. Normal forms for hamiltonian systems with Poisson commuting integrals-elliptic case. Comment. Math. Helv. 65, p.4-35, 1990.

[Fr] J.P. Françoise. Propriétés de généricité des transformations canoniques, *in* Geometric dynamics. Proceedings, Rio de Janeiro. Palis, *ed.* Lect. Notes in Math. no 1007, Springer, 1983.

[Gal] G. Gallavotti. The Elements of Mechanics. Springer, 1983.

[GiDeFoGaSi] A. Giorgilli, A. Delsham, E. Fontich, L. Galgani, C. Simò. Effective stability for a Hamiltonian system near an equilibrium point... J. of Diff. Eq. 77, p.167-198, 1989.

[Gr] S. Graff. On the conservation of hyperbolic tori for hamiltonian systems. J. Diff. Equations 15, p.1-69, 1974

[GuSc] V. Guillemin, D. Schaeffer. On a certain class of fuchsian partial differential equations. Duke Math. J. 44(1), p.157-199, 1977.

[Ha] Ph. Hartmann. Ordinary Differential Equations. Wiley, 1964.

[HeSj] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand. **1.** Multiple wells in the semi-classical limit III -interaction through non-resonant wells. Math. Nachr. 124, 1985. **2.** Semi-classical analysis for Harper's equation III. Bull. Soc. Math. de France, Mémoire 39, Tome 117, 1989.

[IaSj] A. Iantchenko, J. Sjöstrand. Birkhoff normal forms for Fourier integral operators II. Preprint, 2001.

[It] H. Ito. Integrable symplectic maps and their Birkhoff normal form. Tôhoku Math. J. 49, p.73-114, 1997.

[KaRo] N. Kaidi, M. Rouleux. Quasi-invariant tori and semi-excited states for Schrödinger operators I. Asymptotics. Comm. Part. Diff. Eq., to appear.

[LasSj] B. Lascar, J.Sjöstrand. Equation de Schrödinger . . . Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. 10(5), p.467-523, 1985.

[M] P. Malliavin. Géométrie différentielle intrinsèque. Hermann. Paris, 1972.

[MaSo] A. Martinez, V. Sordoni. Microlocal WKB Expansions. J. of Funct. Anal. 168, p.380-402, 1999.

[MeSj] A. Melin, J. Sjöstrand. Determinants of pseudo-differential operators and complex deformations of phase space. Preprint Ecole Polytechnique, 2001.

[Mo] J. Moser. On the generalization of a theorem of A. Lyapunov. Comm. Pure Appli. Math. 11, p.257-271, 1958.

[Ne] E. Nelson. Topics in dynamics I: flows. Princeton University Press, 1969.

[Ro] M. Rouleux. **1.** Quasi-invariant tori and semi-excited states for Schrödinger operators II. Tunneling. In preparation. **2.** Integrability of an holomorphic hamiltonian near a hyperbolic fixed point. In preparation.

[Si] C. L. Siegel. **1.** Über die Normalform analytischer Differential- gleichungen in der Nähe einer Gleichgewichtslösung. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen, Math. Phys. Kl., p.21-30, 1952. **2.** Über die Existenz einer Normalform . . . Math. Annalen 128, p.144-170, 1954.

[SiMo] C. L. Siegel, J. Moser. Lectures on Celestial Mechanics. Springer, 1971.

[Sj] J. Sjöstrand. **1.** Singularités analytiques microlocales. Astérisque 95, Soc. Math. France, 1982. **2.** Analytic wavefront sets and operators with multiple characteristics. Hokkaido Math. J. XII, 3, p.392-433, 1983. **3.** Functions spaces associated to global I-Lagrangian manifolds, *in* Structure of solutions of differential equations, Katata/Kyoto, 1995, World Scientific, 1996. **4.** Semi-excited states in non-degenerate potential wells. Asymptotic Analysis, 6, p.29-43, 1992.

[St] S. Sternberg. The structure of local diffeomorphisms III. American J. of Math. 81, p.578-604, 1959

[Vi] M. Vittot. Birkhoff expansions in Hamiltonian mechanics : a simplification of the combinatorics, *in* : "Non-linear dynamics" (Bologna, 1988). G. Turchetti, *eds.* World Scient. Singapore, (1989), p.276-286.

[Vu] S. Vu Ngoc. On semi-global invariants for focus-focus singularities. Preprint Institut Fourier, 2001